

Lecture 6: The spin connection

On the tangent bundle of a riemannian manifold (M, g) there is a privileged connection called the Levi-Civita connection. Thinking of the tangent bundle as an associated vector bundle to the bundle $O(M)$ of orthonormal frames, we will see that this connection is induced from a connection on $O(M)$, which restricts to a connection on $SO(M)$ when (M, g) is orientable and lifts to a connection on any spin bundle $\text{Spin}(M)$ if (M, g) is spin. That being the case, it defines a connection on the spinor bundles which is usually called the spin connection.

6.1 The Levi-Civita connection

Let (M, g) be a riemannian manifold. We summarise here the basic definitions and results of the riemannian geometry of (M, g) .

Theorem 6.1 (The fundamental theorem of riemannian geometry). *There is a unique connection on the tangent bundle TM which is*

1. metric-compatible:

$$\nabla_X g = 0 \quad \text{equivalently} \quad Xg(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z),$$

2. and torsion-free:

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y],$$

where X, Y, Z are vector fields on M and $[X, Y]$ denotes the Lie bracket of vector fields.

Proof. The proof consists in finding an explicit formula for the connection in terms of the metric. Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. The metric compatibility condition says that

$$\begin{aligned} Xg(Y, Z) &= g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z) \\ Yg(Z, X) &= g(\nabla_Y Z, X) + g(Z, \nabla_Y X) \\ Zg(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_Z X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z Y), \end{aligned}$$

whereas the vanishing of the torsion allows to rewrite the middle equation as

$$Yg(Z, X) = g(\nabla_Y Z, X) + g(Z, \nabla_X Y) + g(Z, [X, Y]).$$

We now compute

$$Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) = 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z - \nabla_Z X) + g(\nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Z Y, X) + g(Z, [X, Y])$$

and use the torsionless condition once again to arrive at the **Koszul formula**

$$(79) \quad 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) - g(Y, [X, Z]) - g([Y, Z], X) - g(Z, [X, Y])$$

which determines $\nabla_X Y$ uniquely. □

The connection so defined is called the **Levi-Civita connection**. Its curvature, defined by

$$(80) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z,$$

gives rise to the **Riemann curvature tensor**

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) := g(R(X, Y)Z, W).$$

Proposition 6.2. *The curvature satisfies the following identities*

1. symmetry conditions:

$$R(X, Y)Z = -R(Y, X)Z \quad \text{and} \quad R(X, Y, Z, W) = -R(X, Y, W, Z) ,$$

2. algebraic Bianchi identity:

$$R(X, Y)Z + R(Y, Z)X + R(Z, X)Y = 0 ,$$

3. differential Bianchi identity:

$$\nabla_X R(Y, Z) + \nabla_Y R(Z, X) + \nabla_Z R(X, Y) = 0 .$$

A tensor satisfying the symmetry conditions and the algebraic Bianchi identity is called an **algebraic curvature tensor**.

If we fix $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, the curvature defines a linear map $Z \mapsto R(X, Z)Y$, whose trace is the **Ricci (curvature) tensor** $r(X, Y)$.

Proposition 6.3. *The Ricci tensor is symmetric: $r(X, Y) = r(Y, X)$.*

The trace (relative to the metric g) of the Ricci tensor is called the **scalar curvature** of (M, g) and denoted s .

Definition 6.4. A riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be **Einstein** if $r(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly $\lambda = s/n$ where n is the dimension of M . It is said to be **Ricci-flat** if $r = 0$ and **flat** if $R = 0$.

If $h, k \in C^\infty(M, S^2 T^* M)$ are two symmetric tensors, their **Kulkarni–Nomizu product** $h \odot k$ is the algebraic curvature tensor defined by

$$(81) \quad (h \odot k)(X, Y, Z, W) = h(X, Z)k(Y, W) + h(Y, W)k(X, Z) - h(X, W)k(Y, Z) - h(Y, Z)k(X, W) ,$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

Proposition 6.5. *The Riemann curvature tensor can be decomposed as*

$$R = \frac{s}{2n(n-1)} g \odot g + \frac{1}{n-2} \left(r - \frac{s}{n} g \right) \odot g + W$$

where W is the **Weyl (curvature) tensor**.

The Weyl tensor is the “traceless” part of the Riemann tensor. It is conformally invariant and if it vanishes, (M, g) is said to be *conformally flat*. If (M, g) is Einstein, then the middle term in R is absent. If only the first term is present then (M, g) is said to have *constant sectional curvature*.

6.2 The connection one-forms on $O(M)$, $SO(M)$ and $Spin(M)$

The Levi-Civita connection of a riemannian manifold induces a connection one-form ω on the orthonormal frame bundle and, if orientable, also on the oriented orthonormal frame bundle. Indeed, let us assume that M is orientable and let $\mathcal{E} : U \subset M \rightarrow SO(M)$ be local orthonormal frame, i.e., a local section of $SO(M)$. Then we may pull ω back to a gauge field $\mathcal{E}^* \omega$ on U with values in $\mathfrak{so}(s, t)$, for (M, g) of signature (s, t) . We can describe the gauge field explicitly as follows. Let (e_i) denote the elements in the frame \mathcal{E} . Being orthonormal, their inner products are given by $g(e_i, e_j) = \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij}$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{E}^* \omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j e_i \wedge e_j ,$$

where $\omega_{ij} \in \Omega^1(U)$ is defined by

$$(82) \quad \omega_{ij}(X) = g(\nabla_X e_i, e_j)$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and $e_i \wedge e_j \in \mathfrak{so}(s, t)$ are the skewsymmetric endomorphisms defined by (53). It is convenient in calculations to introduce the **dual frame** $e^i = \varepsilon_i e_i$, where now $g(e_i, e^j) = \delta_{ij}$, and in terms of which

$$\mathcal{E}^* \omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} e^i \wedge e^j .$$

If \mathcal{E}' is another local frame $\mathcal{E}' : U' \rightarrow \text{SO}(M)$, so that on $U \cap U'$, $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} h$ for some $h : U \cap U' \rightarrow \text{SO}(s, t)$, then on $U \cap U'$,

$$\mathcal{E}'^* \omega = h \mathcal{E}^* \omega h^{-1} - dh h^{-1} ,$$

whence it does indeed give rise to a gauge field.

Now let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spin}(M) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \text{SO}(M) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & M & \end{array}$$

denote a spin bundle. The connection 1-form ω on $\text{SO}(M)$ pulls back to a connection 1-form $\varphi^* \omega$ on $\text{Spin}(M)$, called the **spin connection**. Now given a local section \mathcal{E} of $\text{SO}(M)$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ denote a local section of $\text{Spin}(M)$ such that $\varphi \circ \tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}$. Then the gauge field associated to $\varphi^* \omega$ via $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ coincides with the one associated to ω via \mathcal{E} :

$$(83) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^* \varphi^* \omega = (\varphi \circ \tilde{\mathcal{E}})^* \omega = \mathcal{E}^* \omega .$$

If $\rho : \text{Spin}(s, t) \rightarrow \text{GL}(F)$ is any representation, then on sections of the associated vector bundle $\text{Spin}(M) \times_{\text{Spin}(s,t)} F$ we have a covariant derivative

$$(84) \quad d^\nabla = d + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} \rho(e^i \wedge e^j) ,$$

where we also denote by $\rho : \mathfrak{so}(s, t) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(F)$ the representation of the Lie algebra.

We shall be interested primarily in the spinor representations of $\text{Spin}(s, t)$, which are induced by restriction from pinor representations of $\text{Cl}(s, t)$. This means that the associated bundle $\text{Spin}(M) \times_{\text{Spin}(s,t)} F$ is (perhaps a subbundle of) a bundle $\text{Cl}(TM) \times_{\text{Cl}(s,t)} P$ of Clifford modules. In this case, it is convenient to think of the gauge field as taking values in the Clifford algebra. If we let $\rho : \mathfrak{so}(s, t) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(s, t)$ denote the embedding defined in (55), then

$$(85) \quad \rho(\mathcal{E}^* \omega) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} e^i e^j ,$$

where $e^i e^j \in \text{Cl}(s, t)$. The curvature two-form of this connection is given by

$$(86) \quad \rho(\mathcal{E}^* \Omega) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \Omega_{ij} e^i e^j ,$$

where $\Omega_{ij}(X, Y) = g(R(X, Y)e_i, e_j)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, with $R(X, Y)$ defined by (80).

The Clifford algebra-valued covariant derivative is compatible with Clifford action in the following sense. Suppose that $\theta \in \text{Cl}(TM)$ and ψ is a section of a bundle of Clifford modules associated to $\text{Cl}(TM)$. Then for all vector fields $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, we have that

$$(87) \quad \nabla_X(\theta \cdot \psi) = \nabla_X \theta \cdot \psi + \theta \cdot \nabla_X \psi ,$$

where $\nabla_X \theta$ agrees with the action of the Levi-Civita connection on θ viewed as a section of ΛTM .

6.3 Parallel spinor fields

We can now define the notion of a parallel spinor field as a (nonzero) section of a spinor bundle which is covariantly constant. On a trivialising neighbourhood U of M , where $\text{Spin}(M)$ is trivialised by a local section $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ lifting a local orthonormal frame \mathcal{E} , a spinor field is given by a function $\psi : U \rightarrow S(s, t)$ taking values in the spinor representation, which we think of as the restriction to $\text{Spin}(s, t)$ of an irreducible $\text{Cl}(s, t)$ -module. Depending on (s, t) , it may very well be the case that the $S(s, t)$ so defined is not irreducible, in which case $S(s, t) = S(s, t)_+ \oplus S(s, t)_-$ decomposes into two half-spinor irreducible representations of $\text{Spin}(s, t)$. The covariant derivative of ψ is given by

$$(88) \quad d^\nabla \psi = d\psi + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} e^i e^j \psi,$$

and we say that ψ is **covariantly constant** (or **parallel**) if $d^\nabla \psi = 0$. The fact (78) that d^∇ is covariant means that this equation is well-defined on global section of the spinor bundle.

Differentiating $d^\nabla \psi$ again we obtain an integrability condition for the existence of parallel spinor fields, namely

$$(89) \quad d^\nabla d^\nabla \psi = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \Omega_{ij} e^i e^j \psi = 0.$$

This equation is equivalent to

$$(90) \quad R(X, Y)\psi = 0,$$

where $R(X, Y) \in \text{Cl}(\text{TM})$ acts on ψ via Clifford multiplication. Relative to the local orthonormal frame $\mathcal{E} = (e_i)$, we have

$$(91) \quad R(e_i, e_j) \cdot \psi = 0 \implies \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ijkl} e^k e^\ell \psi = 0.$$

If we multiply the above equation with e^j and sum over j , we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{j,k,\ell} R_{ijkl} e^j e^k e^\ell \psi \\ &= \sum_{j,k,\ell} R_{ijkl} (e^{jkl} - g^{jk} e^\ell + g^{j\ell} e^k) \psi \\ &= \sum_{j,k,\ell} R_{ijkl} (e^{jkl} + 2g^{j\ell} e^k) \psi. \end{aligned}$$

The first term vanishes by the algebraic Bianchi identity and the second term yields the Ricci tensor, whence the integrability condition becomes

$$(92) \quad \sum_j R_{ij} e^j \psi = 0.$$

More invariantly, this says the following. The Ricci tensor defines an endomorphism R of the tangent bundle called the **Ricci operator**, by $g(R(X), Y) = r(X, Y)$. Then the above integrability condition says that $R(X)\psi = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Hitting this equation again with $R(X)$, we see that $g(R(X), R(X)) = 0$ for all X . If g is positive-definite, then $R(X) = 0$ and (M, g) is Ricci-flat. In indefinite signature, the image of the Ricci operator consists of null vectors, whence we could call such manifolds *Ricci-null*.

In the next lecture we will reformulate the question of which spin manifolds admit parallel spinor fields in terms of holonomy.