# Instantons and Killing spinors arXiv:1109.3552

#### Derek Harland (with Christoph Nölle)

Department of Mathematical Sciences Durham University

#### 30th November 2011 EMPG

Harland, Derek (Durham)

Instantons and Killing spinors

30th November 2011 EMPG 1 / 26

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Outline

## Introduction and motivation





4 Heterotic supergravity



# Outline

## Introduction and motivation

- Instantons on real Killing spinor manifolds
- Instantons on the cone
- 4 Heterotic supergravity
- 5 Conclusions

**A** 

#### Definition

A gauge field A is called an instanton if its field strength F satisfies

$$\boldsymbol{F}\cdot\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\boldsymbol{0},$$

for some spinor  $\epsilon$ .

This is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2} Q_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda} = -F_{\mu\nu}$$

where  $Q_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} = \langle \epsilon | \gamma_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} | \epsilon \rangle$  (cf. Corrigan, Fairlie, Devchand, Nuyts, 1983).

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

#### Definition

A gauge field A is called an instanton if its field strength F satisfies

$$\boldsymbol{F}\cdot\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\boldsymbol{0},$$

for some spinor  $\epsilon$ .

This is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathsf{Q}_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\boldsymbol{F}^{\kappa\lambda} = -\boldsymbol{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

where  $Q_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} = \langle \epsilon | \gamma_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} | \epsilon \rangle$  (cf. Corrigan, Fairlie, Devchand, Nuyts, 1983).

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

#### Example (4 dimensions)

If  $\epsilon$  is a Weyl spinor,  $F \cdot \epsilon = 0$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}F^{\kappa\lambda}=-F_{\mu\nu}.$$

Instantons solve the Yang-Mills equation  $D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0$ .

The BPST instanton is a kink:

$$A = (1 - \psi)e^{a}I_{a}$$
  
$$\psi = (1 + e^{2(\tau - \tau_{0})})^{-1}$$

Here  $\tau = \ln r$  is a radial coordinate,  $I_a$  are generators for SU(2),  $e^a$  are left-invariant 1-forms on  $S^3$ .

Harland, Derek (Durham)

- Implies the Yang-Mills equation, if  $\epsilon$  is *parallel* (e.g. on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).
- BPS states in super-Yang-Mills, heterotic supergravity.
- Invariants of *n*-manifolds, n > 4.
- Reductions appear in geometric Langlands, complex Chern-Simons, self-dual strings.

- The Levi-Civita connection on any manifold with parallel spinor is an instanton (e.g. Calabi-Yau manifolds, hyper-Kähler manifolds).
- Model solutions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $\epsilon$  fixed by  $G \subset SO(n)$ ):

п	G	instanton?	SUGRA?	name
7,8	G <sub>2</sub> , Spin(7)	✓	✓	octonionic
2 <i>m</i> <i>m</i> ≥ 3	SU( <i>m</i> )	X	×	complex
4 <i>m</i> <i>m</i> ≥ 1	Sp( <i>m</i> )	$\checkmark$	X	quaternionic

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回

# Killing spinors and cones

## Definition

$$\nabla_{\mu}\epsilon = \mathsf{i}\lambda\gamma_{\mu}\cdot\epsilon$$

- $\lambda = 0$ :  $\epsilon$  called a parallel spinor
- $\lambda \neq 0$ :  $\epsilon$  called a Killing spinor

## Cone construction: $g_C = dr^2 + r^2 g_M, r > 0.$



#### Killing spinors on M = parallel spinors on C.

Harland, Derek (Durham)

Instantons and Killing spinors

30th November 2011 EMPG 8 / 26

# Killing spinors and cones

## Definition

$$\nabla_{\mu}\epsilon = \mathsf{i}\lambda\gamma_{\mu}\cdot\epsilon$$

- $\lambda = 0$ :  $\epsilon$  called a parallel spinor
- $\lambda \neq 0$ :  $\epsilon$  called a Killing spinor

Cone construction:  $g_C = dr^2 + r^2 g_M$ , r > 0.



Killing spinors on M = parallel spinors on C.

Harland, Derek (Durham)

Instantons and Killing spinors

30th November 2011 EMPG 8 / 26

. . . . . . .

## Theorem (Bär 1993)

Manifolds M with real Killing spinors are one of the following:

М	dim	cone	example
nearly parallel G <sub>2</sub>	7	Spin(7)	S <sup>7</sup>
nearly Kähler	6	Joyce	S <sup>6</sup>
Sasaki-Einstein	2 <i>m</i> + 1 <i>m</i> ≥ 1	Calabi-Yau	S <sup>2m+1</sup>
3-Sasakian	$\frac{4m+3}{m \ge 0}$	hyperkähler	S <sup>4m+3</sup>

(or are round spheres in other dimensions).

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Introduction and motivation

## Instantons on real Killing spinor manifolds

#### Instantons on the cone

4 Heterotic supergravity

#### 5 Conclusions

**A** 

# Is there a canonical instanton on the tangent bundle over a real Killing spinor manifold *M*?

#### Proposition

A connection on the tangent bundle with curvature tensor  $R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}$  is an instanton if

 $\mathbf{2} \ \mathbf{R}_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} = \mathbf{R}_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}$ 

The Levi-Civita connection satisfies (2) but not (1).

Is there a canonical instanton on the tangent bundle over a real Killing spinor manifold *M*?

#### Proposition

A connection on the tangent bundle with curvature tensor  $R_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}$  is an instanton if

The Levi-Civita connection satisfies (2) but not (1).

# The characteristic connection

#### Definition (Agricola 2006)

A characteristic connection is a connection with reduced holonomy  $G \subset SO(n)$  and totally anti-symmetric torsion, such that the torsion 3-form is parallel.

Such connections satisfy (2) (Agricola 2006).

- NP, NK: ∃! characteristic connection with holonomy G<sub>2</sub>, SU(3) (Friedrich & Ivanov 2002). It is an instanton.
- SE: ∃! characteristic connection with holonomy U(*m*) (FI'02). It is not an instanton.
- 3S: ∄ a characteristic connection (Agricola & Friedrich '08).



# The canonical connection

## Definition

A canonical connection is a connection with reduced holonomy  $G \subset SO(n)$  and totally anti-symmetric torsion with respect to some **G**-compatible metric, such that the torsion 3-form is parallel.

Such connections also satisfy (2).

- NP/NK: ∃! canonical connection (= characteristic connection).
- SE: ∃! characteristic connection with holonomy SU(*m*). It is an instanton.
- 3S: ∃ characteristic connection with holonomy Sp(*m*).
   It is an instanton.



## Proposition

The instanton equation implies the Yang-Mills equation on a real Killing spinor manifold.

#### Proof.

Differentiate instanton equation  $F \cdot \epsilon = 0$ .

Bogomolny-type argument:

$$-\int \operatorname{Tr}(F \wedge *F) \geq \int \operatorname{Tr}(F \wedge F) \wedge *Q$$

Lower bound is not topological!

Instanton equation  $\Rightarrow$  EOM for lower bound + saturation of inequality.

(日)

# Outline

## Introduction and motivation

Instantons on real Killing spinor manifolds

## Instantons on the cone

4 Heterotic supergravity

## 5 Conclusions

**A** 

Recall: the cone is  $C = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times M$ , with metric

$$g_C = \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2 g_M = \mathrm{e}^{2\tau} (\mathrm{d}\tau^2 + g_M).$$

There are two obvious instantons on the cone:

- The Levi-Civita connection on C
- The canonical connection on M

Are there any more?

Ansatz:

$$A = \text{canonical connection} + \psi(\tau) e^a I_a$$

•  $e^a$  are a local orthonormal frame for  $T^*M$  (vielbein).

•  $I_a$  are matrices constructed so that A has a parallel spinor.

Instanton equation is

$$\dot{\psi} = \mathbf{2}(\psi^2 - \psi).$$

Solution:

$$\psi( au) = \left(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{2}( au - au_0)}
ight)^{-1}.$$

Interpolates between Levi-Civita connection (at r = 0) and canonical connection (at  $r = \infty$ ).

Case  $M = S^7$ : get FNFN instanton on  $\mathbb{R}^8$ .

Nearly Kähler story similar,  $M = S^6$  gives GN instanton on  $\mathbb{R}^7$ .

17/26

Remark: ansatz reduces PDE to ODE, *without* assuming *M* has symmetries!  $\Rightarrow$  this is a consistent reduction (cf Gauntlett).

Our consistent reduction is based on the general holonomy principle: given a principle *G*-bundle,

representations of  $G \leftrightarrow$  vector bundles trivial representations of  $G \leftrightarrow$  parallel sections

 $e^a I_a$  is a parallel section corresponding to a trivial sub-representation of a representation of  $G_2$ .

(日)

Remark: ansatz reduces PDE to ODE, *without* assuming *M* has symmetries!  $\Rightarrow$  this is a consistent reduction (cf Gauntlett).

Our consistent reduction is based on the general holonomy principle: given a principle *G*-bundle,

representations of  $G \leftrightarrow$  vector bundles trivial representations of  $G \leftrightarrow$  parallel sections

 $e^a I_a$  is a parallel section corresponding to a trivial sub-representation of a representation of  $G_2$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

Similar ansatz  $\Rightarrow$  ODEs for 2 functions  $\chi, \psi$ :

$$\dot{\chi} = 2m(\psi^2 - \chi) \dot{\psi} = \frac{m+1}{m}\psi(\chi - 1).$$

(cf Correia 2010). Numerical solutions only.

Interpolates between Levi-Civita connection (at r = 0) and canonical connection (at  $r = \infty$ ).

$$M = S^{2m+1}$$
: new instantons on  $\mathbb{R}^{2m+2}$ 

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

## (2m + 1)-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein II



Harland, Derek (Durham)

30th November 2011 EMPG

20/26

# (4m+3)-dimensional 3-Sasakian

Obtain 3 equations for 2 functions:

$$0 = \chi - \psi^2$$
  

$$\dot{\chi} = 2\chi(\chi - 1)$$
  

$$\dot{\psi} = \psi(\chi - 1).$$

Nevertheless, there is an exact solution:

$$\chi(\tau) = \left(1 + e^{2(\tau - \tau_0)}\right)^{-1}$$
  
$$\psi(\tau) = \pm \left(1 + e^{2(\tau - \tau_0)}\right)^{-1/2}$$

Interpolates between Levi-Civita connection (at r = 0) and canonical connection (at  $r = \infty$ ).

 $M = S^{4m+3}$ : the CGK instantons on  $\mathbb{R}^{4m+4}$ .

Our instantons are domain walls, or kinks:



- Large size limit  $\tau_0 \rightarrow \infty$ : Levi-Civita connection on cone.
- Small size limit τ<sub>0</sub> → −∞: canonical connection.
   Singular (even on ℝ<sup>n</sup>).

The instantons provide models of singularity formation (cf Tian).

# Outline

## Introduction and motivation

Instantons on real Killing spinor manifolds

#### Instantons on the cone

4 Heterotic supergravity

## 5 Conclusions

The BPS equations of heterotic supergravity are

$$\nabla^{-}\epsilon = 0$$
  

$$(d\phi - H) \cdot \epsilon = 0$$
  

$$F \cdot \epsilon = 0$$
  

$$dH = -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \operatorname{Tr}(F \wedge F - R^{+} \wedge R^{+}).$$

The instantons on cones lift to solutions of these equations, at least to  $O(\alpha')$  (generalises Harvey & Strominger 1990).

Explicit solutions in nearly parallel  $G_2$ , nearly Kähler, 3-Sasakian cases, numerical solutions in Sasaki-Einstein case.

3-Sasakian: solution exists despite having more equations than unkowns!

(日)

# Outline

## Introduction and motivation

- Instantons on real Killing spinor manifolds
- Instantons on the cone
- 4 Heterotic supergravity



**A** 

# Conclusions

- Instantons on real Killing spinor manifolds and their cones
- List of model solutions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  complete:

n	G	instanton?	SUGRA?	name
7,8	G <sub>2</sub> , Spin(7)	✓	1	octonionic
2 <i>m</i> <i>m</i> ≥ 3	SU( <i>m</i> )	~	1	complex
4 <i>m</i> <i>m</i> ≥ 1	Sp( <i>m</i> )	1	1	quaternionic

Demonstrate singularity-formation

- Resolutions of cones?
- Uniqueness of canonical connection?
- Multi-instantons?
- Twistors?