Quantum Integrability in 2D sigma-models on supergroups and supercosets

Raphael Benichou VUB, Brussels



Based on:

arXiv:1108.4927 [hep-th]

arXiv:1011.3158 [hep-th]

25/04/2012

<u>Introduction</u>

In this talk, we consider 2D sigma-models on supergroups and supercosets.



These models are relevant to understand:

String theory in RR backgrounds



Superstrings in RR backgrounds

In type II string theory, several fields can take a non-zero expectation value in the vacuum: metric, dilaton... and RR-fluxes.

Quantization of string theory with RR fluxes is not understood.



Superstrings in RR backgrounds

We need to embed spacetime in a superspace.



Not a single example is under control.

Sigma models on superspaces need to be understood better.

Sigma models on supergroups and supercosets are natural starting points. In this talk, we mostly discuss the computation of the spectrum in these models.

Superstrings in RR backgrounds

Two families of supergroups are particularly attractive:

$$PSl(n|n)$$
 $OSp(2n+2|2n)$

They have vanishing dual Coxeter number: sigma-models on these supergroups are conformal. Some of their cosets inherit this property.

$$PSU(1,1|2) \leftrightarrow AdS_3 \times S^3$$

$$\frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{SO(4,1) \times SO(5)} \leftrightarrow AdS_5 \times S^5$$

$$\frac{OSp(6|4)}{SO(3,1) \times U(3)} \leftrightarrow AdS_4 \times CP^5$$

Integrability in AdS/CFT



Large N limit: Integrable structures appear.

Beisert et al., 2011

In this talk we focus on the spectrum problem.



The spectrum problem: history

- The dilatation operator of N=4 SYM can be related to the Hamiltonian of an integrable spin chain.
- The string worldsheet theory is integrable, at least classically.

Bena, Polchinski & Roiban, 2003

Zarembo, 2002

- The dimension of long operators is given by the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz.
- A solution has been proposed for the spectrum of all operators: the Y-system.

The Y- and T-systems

• It is an infinite system of equations for the so-called Y-functions, that can be solved numerically.



 $\mathcal{T}_{a,s}(u+1)\mathcal{T}_{a,s}(u-1) = \mathcal{T}_{a+1,s}(u+1)\mathcal{T}_{a-1,s}(u-1) + \mathcal{T}_{a,s+1}(u-1)\mathcal{T}_{a,s-1}(u+1)$

T-system, or Hirota equation

- Each string state corresponds to a solution of the Hirota equation with specific analytic properties.
- The energy of a string state can be computed easily from the T-functions.

• It is compatible with the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz.

 It reproduces the spectrum of the quasi-classical string a large 't Hooft coupling.
 Gromov, 2009

Good reasons to appreciate the Y-system

 It gave correct predictions for the dimension of the Konishi operator at large and small 't Hooft coupling.

Now it would be nice to prove the validity of the Y-system.

Gromov, Kazakov

& Vieira, 2009c

Gromov, Kazakov

& Vieira, 2009a

& Tsuboi, 2010

Arutyunov, Frolov

& Suzuki, 2010

Derivations of the Y-system



Raphael Benichou (VUB)

Plan

- I. Introduction
- 2. Line operators and integrability
- 3. Derivation of the Hirota equation
- 4. Conclusions

Plan

I. Introduction

2. Line operators and integrability

3. Derivation of the Hirota equation

4. Conclusions

The Hirota equation: generalities

 $\mathcal{T}_{a,s}(u+1)\mathcal{T}_{a,s}(u-1) = \mathcal{T}_{a+1,s}(u+1)\mathcal{T}_{a-1,s}(u-1) + \mathcal{T}_{a,s+1}(u-1)\mathcal{T}_{a,s-1}(u+1)$

• The integer indices (a,s) label representations of PSI(n|n). They take value in a T-shaped lattice.

The precise shape of the lattice depends on the real form of the supergroup. For PSU(p,n-p|n):



• The T-functions are presumably related to the transfer matrices of the underlying theory.

Classical integrability

A two-dimensional field theory is classically integrable if one can find a one-parameter family of flat connections:

 $\forall u, \quad dA(u) + A(u) \land A(u) = 0$

From the flat connection, one can construct the transfer matrix:

$$\mathcal{T}_R(u) = STr \ P \ \exp\left(-\oint A_R(u)\right)$$

Flatness of the connection implies that the transfer matrix is independent of the integration contour. Thus it encodes an infinite number of conserved charges.

The classical limit of the Hirota equation

• The classical transfer matrix is a super-character:

$$\mathcal{T}_R(u) = STr P \exp\left(-\oint A_R(u)\right) \longrightarrow \text{Supergroup element}$$

• Characters of PSI(n|n) satisfy:

$$\chi_{(a,s)} \chi_{(a,s)} = \chi_{(a+1,s)} \chi_{(a+1,s)} + \chi_{(a,s+1)} \chi_{(a,s-1)}$$

$$1 \gg 1 \sim \text{Classical limit}$$

 $\mathcal{T}_{a,s}(u+1)\mathcal{T}_{a,s}(u-1) = \mathcal{T}_{a+1,s}(u+1)\mathcal{T}_{a-1,s}(u-1) + \mathcal{T}_{a,s+1}(u-1)\mathcal{T}_{a,s-1}(u+1)$

• The shifts of the spectral parameter presumably come from some kind of quantum effects.

The strategy of the derivation

\mathcal{T} 's = Transfer matrices

 \rightarrow The Hirota equation is promoted to an operator identity.

Product of \mathcal{T} 's = Fusion of line operators

 \rightarrow The shifts come from quantum effects associated with fusion.



We will demonstrate that this picture is correct at first order in perturbation theory.

Raphael Benichou (VUB)

Quantum currents

Sigma-models on supergroups admit a one-parameter family of flat connections: $A(u) = f(u) I dz + \overline{f}(u) \overline{I} d\overline{z}$

 $A(u) = f(u) J dz + f(u) J d\bar{z}$ Noether currents

The structure of the current-current OPEs is the following:



Perturbation theory is easily implemented:

The coefficients of all poles are of order R^{-2}

Computation at order $p \Leftrightarrow$ Perform p OPEs.

UV divergences in line operators

We expand the line operators:

$$W^{b,a} = P \exp\left(-\int_{a}^{b} A\right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} W_{N}^{b,a}$$

with:
$$W_{N}^{b,a} : \bullet A(\sigma_{N}) \cdots A(\sigma_{2}) A(\sigma_{1}) \bullet$$

Collisions of integrated operators lead to divergences.

Regularization of divergences

We use a "principal value" regularization scheme:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\rightarrowtail} A(0) \\ & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(0) \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} A(\sigma) \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} A(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathsf{OPE}}{\leftarrow} \end{array}$$

For instance for a simple pole:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{\sigma - i\epsilon} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + \epsilon^2} \equiv P.V.\frac{1}{\sigma}$$

Line operator: Divergences at first order

There are three sources of divergences:

Ist-order poles:

2nd-order poles:



When the dual Coxeter number is zero, the sum of these three terms cancels, but there are less of these. — We end up with a logarithmic divergence:



Divergences in the loop operators

There is a new source of divergences in loop operators:



It contributes to the logarithmic divergences:

$$\log \epsilon \left(\Omega t^a t_a + t^a t_a \Omega - 2t^a \Omega t_a \right)$$

We deduce that:

The transfer matrix is free of divergences up to first order in perturbation theory.

The vanishing of the dual Coxeter number is crucial.

Plan

- I. Introduction
- 2. Line operators and integrability
- 3. Derivation of the Hirota equation
- 4. Conclusions

Fusion of line operators



We denote the fusion as: $W^{b,a}_R(y) \triangleright W^{d,c}_{R'}(y')$

- The classical process is simple.
- Collisions of integrated connections induce quantum corrections that we are going to compute.

Disentangling the OPEs

We write the OPE between two connections as:



For instance for a simple pole:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma + i\epsilon - \sigma'} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma + i\epsilon - \sigma'} + \frac{1}{\sigma - i\epsilon - \sigma'} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma + i\epsilon - \sigma'} - \frac{1}{\sigma - i\epsilon - \sigma'} \right)$$

$$P.V. \frac{1}{\sigma - \sigma'}$$

Raphael Benichou (VUB)

Mikhailov & Schafer-

Nameki, 2007b

Commutator of connections

• To compute the quantum corrections in the process of fusion, the relevant OPE is:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} (1 - P.V.) A_R(y; \sigma + i\epsilon) A_{R'}(y'; \sigma') = \frac{1}{2} [A_R(y; \sigma), A_{R'}(y'; \sigma')]$$

• From the current-current OPEs, we obtain:

 $[A_R(y;\sigma), A_{R'}(y';\sigma')] = 2s\delta'(\sigma - \sigma') + [A_R(y;\sigma), r+s]\delta(\sigma - \sigma') + [A_{R'}(y';\sigma'), r-s]\delta(\sigma - \sigma')$

We recognize a (r,s) system with: $r,s ~\sim~ t^{a,R} \otimes t_{\sigma}^{R'}$

Maillet, 1985

Maillet, 1986

Fusion at first order

We consider the line operators:

We perform one OPE between two connections sitting on different contours:

With some efforts we can sum all terms to get:



This agrees with the commutator of transition matrices derived in the Hamiltonian formalism.

Fusion of transfer matrices at first order

The fusion of transfer matrices is trivial at first order:



In particular the transfer matrices commute:

$$[\mathcal{T}_R(x), \mathcal{T}_{R'}(x')] = 0 + \mathcal{O}(R^{-4})$$

 \rightarrow To get the leading quantum correction to the fusion of transfer matrices, we have to go to second order.

Symmetric fusion of transfer matrices

We obtain:



Symmetric fusion of transfer matrices

We obtain:

	$\begin{split} \tilde{J} &= (i\pi R^{-2})^2 \sum_{m,n,p,q,r} f_{C_p}{}^{B_n A_m} f_{E_r}{}^{C_p D_q} \{ t^R_{D_q}, t^R_{A_m}] t^{R'}_{B_n} \\ &\times (J^{E_r}_r (\tilde{D'}^p_{mn} F_q C^r_{pq} - \tilde{D'}^p_{mn} \bar{F}_q C^r_{p\bar{q}} - \tilde{D'}^{\bar{p}}_{mn} F_q C^r_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} - \tilde{D'}^{\bar{p}}_{mn} \bar{F}_q C^r_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} F_r (\tilde{D'}^s_{mn} F_p C_{sp} + \tilde{D'}^s_{pn} F_m C_{sm} - \tilde{D'}^{\bar{s}}_{mn} \bar{F}_p C_{\bar{s}\bar{p}} - \tilde{D'}^{\bar{s}}_{pn} \bar{F}_m C_{\bar{s}\bar{m}})) \\ &+ J^{E_r}_r (\tilde{D'}^p_{mn} F_q C^{\bar{p}}_{pq} + \tilde{D'}^p_{mn} \bar{F}_q C^{\bar{p}}_{p\bar{q}} + \tilde{D'}^{\bar{p}}_{mn} F_q C^{\bar{p}}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} - \tilde{D'}^{\bar{s}}_{mn} \bar{F}_q C^{\bar{p}}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \bar{F}_r (\tilde{D'}^s_{mn} F_p C_{sp} + \tilde{D'}^s_{pn} F_m C_{sm} - \tilde{D'}^{\bar{s}}_{mn} \bar{F}_p C_{\bar{s}\bar{p}} - \tilde{D'}^{\bar{s}}_{mn} \bar{F}_m C_{\bar{s}\bar{m}}))) \end{split}$	$\mathcal{O}(R^{-6})$
\tilde{J}	$\begin{aligned} &+ f_{C_{p}}{}^{B_{n}A_{m}} f_{E_{r}}{}^{D_{q}C_{p}} t_{A_{m}}^{R} \{t_{B_{n}}^{R'}, t_{D_{q}}^{R'}\} \\ &\times (J_{r}^{E_{r}}(-\tilde{D}_{mn}^{p}F_{q}C_{pq}^{r} + \tilde{D}_{mn}^{p}\bar{F}_{q}C_{p\bar{q}}^{r} + \tilde{D}_{mn}^{\bar{p}}F_{q}C_{\bar{p}q}^{r} + \tilde{D}_{mn}^{\bar{p}}\bar{F}_{q}C_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}^{r} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}F_{r}(\tilde{D}_{mn}^{s}F_{p}C_{sp} + \tilde{D}_{pn}^{s}F_{m}C_{sm} - \tilde{D}_{mn}^{\bar{s}}\bar{F}_{p}C_{\bar{s}\bar{p}} - \tilde{D}_{pn}^{\bar{s}}\bar{F}_{m}C_{\bar{s}\bar{m}})) \\ &+ \bar{J}_{r}^{E_{r}}(-\tilde{D}_{mn}^{p}F_{q}C_{pq}^{\bar{r}} - \tilde{D}_{mn}^{p}\bar{F}_{q}C_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}^{\bar{r}} - \tilde{D}_{mn}^{\bar{p}}F_{q}C_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}^{\bar{r}} + \tilde{D}_{mn}^{\bar{p}}\bar{F}_{q}C_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}^{\bar{r}}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\bar{F}_{r}(\tilde{D}_{mn}^{s}F_{p}C_{sp} + \tilde{D}_{pn}^{s}F_{m}C_{sm} - \tilde{D}_{mn}^{\bar{s}}\bar{F}_{p}C_{\bar{s}\bar{p}} - \tilde{D}_{pn}^{\bar{s}}\bar{F}_{m}C_{\bar{s}\bar{m}}))) \end{aligned}$	tween ns dt_a

Derivation of the T-system I

The goal is to show that:

$$\mathcal{T}_{a,s}(u+1) \triangleright \mathcal{T}_{a,s}(u-1) = \mathcal{T}_{a+1,s}(u+1) \triangleright \mathcal{T}_{a-1,s}(u-1) + \mathcal{T}_{a,s+1}(u-1) \triangleright \mathcal{T}_{a,s-1}(u+1)$$

• We perform a semi-classical expansion:

Derivation of the T-system II

• Previously we computed the leading quantum correction:



Raphael Benichou (VUB)

Derivation of the T-system III

We obtain eventually:



We have derived from first principles the T-system up to first order in perturbation theory.

"The shifts come from fusion"

Plan

- I. Introduction
- 2. Line operators and integrability
- 3. Derivation of the Hirota equation
- 4. Conclusions

Summary of the technical results

We studied quantum integrability of conformal sigma models on supergroups and supercosets:

- Starting from the current-current OPEs, we computed the fusion of line operators up to second order.
- We deduced a perturbative proof of the Hirota equation as an operator identity.

Summary of the conceptual results

In the case of string theory on AdS₅×S⁵: we obtained a firstprinciples, perturbative derivation of the AdS/CFTY-system.

The same integrability techniques can be used to solve the spectrum of generic conformal sigma-models on supergroups and supercosets.

This applies to string theory on:

 $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ $AdS_2 \times S^2$ $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$... Zarembo, 2010

Fusion vs TBA



At that point, the two approaches are complementary.

Raphael Benichou	(VUB)
------------------	-------

Thank you.

Superstrings in AdS₃×S³

 Strings in AdS₃×S³ with RR and/or NS fluxes can be described in the hybrid formalism.



The pure spinor string on AdS₅×S⁵

The worldsheet theory is a sigma-model on $\frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{SO(5) \times SO(4,1)}$ coupled to ghosts.

The action is:
$$S = \frac{R^2}{4\pi} STr \int d^2w \left(J_2 \bar{J}_2 + \frac{3}{2} J_3 \bar{J}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{J}_3 J_1 \right)$$
$$+ \frac{R^2}{2\pi} STr \int d^2w \left(N \bar{J}_0 + \hat{N} J_0 - N \hat{N} + w \bar{\partial} \lambda + \hat{w} \partial \hat{\lambda} \right)$$

The J_i 's are the \mathbb{Z}_4 components of the Maurer-Cartan current: $g \in PSU(2,2|4): g^{-1}dg = J_0 + J_1 + J_2 + J_3$

Pure spinor ghosts and (λ, w) their conjugate momenta $(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{w})$ \longrightarrow $N = -\{w, \lambda\}$ Pure spinor Lorentz currents