Duality and defects in Landau-Ginzburg models

based on work with Daniel Murfet

Nils Carqueville

LMU München























2d TFTs with defects

2d TFTs with defects are naturally described in terms of **bicategories** with extra structure.

2d TFTs with defects are naturally described in terms of **bicategories** with extra structure.

Theorem. The bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models has adjoints.

2d TFTs with defects are naturally described in terms of **bicategories** with extra structure.

Theorem. The bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models has adjoints.

Plan.

- What?
- Why care?

2d TFTs with defects are naturally described in terms of **bicategories** with extra structure.

Theorem. The bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models has adjoints. (conceptual construction, yet very "computable")

Plan.

- What?
- Why care?

2d TFTs with defects are naturally described in terms of **bicategories** with extra structure.

Theorem. The bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models has adjoints. (conceptual construction, yet very "computable")

Plan.

- What?
- Why care?
 - see previous slide!
 - "computability" has many applications:
 - ★ proof of Cardy condition
 - ★ defect action on bulk fields
 - ★ generalised orbifolds
 - * ...

Worldsheet, partitioned into domains:



Petkova/Zuber 2000, Bachas/Gaberdiel 2004, Fuchs/Runkel/Schweigert 2004, Davydov/Kong/Runkel 2010

Worldsheet, partitioned into domains:



A **TFT** assigns a number $\langle ... \rangle$, the **correlator**, to any worldsheet, depending only on isotopy class of defect lines

Worldsheet, partitioned into domains:



A **TFT** assigns a number $\langle ... \rangle$, the **correlator**, to any worldsheet, depending only on isotopy class of defect lines:



Petkova/Zuber 2000, Bachas/Gaberdiel 2004, Fuchs/Runkel/Schweigert 2004, Davydov/Kong/Runkel 2010

Defect fusion gives product

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} X \\ X \\ \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} X \\ \otimes Y \\ \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Defect fusion gives product, unit = "invisible" defect \mathcal{I}

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} X,Y \\ X \in Y \\ \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} X \otimes Y \\ \end{array} \right\rangle \quad \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{I} \\ \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} X \\ \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Defect fusion gives product, unit = "invisible" defect \mathcal{I}

operator product of fields

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \psi \\ \varphi \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \psi \\ \psi \varphi \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Defect fusion gives product, unit = "invisible" defect \mathcal{I}

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} X,Y \\ X \otimes Y \\ \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} X \otimes Y \\ \end{array} \right\rangle \quad \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{I} \\ \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} X \\ \end{array} \right\rangle$$

operator product of fields, unit = identity field

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bullet \psi \\ \bullet \varphi \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bullet \psi \varphi \\ \bullet \psi \varphi \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bullet 1 \\ \bullet \psi \varphi \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Defect fusion gives product, unit = "invisible" defect \mathcal{I}

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} X,Y \\ X \otimes Y \\ \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} X \otimes Y \\ \end{array} \right\rangle \quad \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{I} \\ \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} X \\ \end{array} \right\rangle$$

operator product of fields, unit = identity field

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bullet \psi \\ \bullet \varphi \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bullet \psi \varphi \\ \bullet \psi \varphi \end{array} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bullet 1 \\ \bullet \psi \varphi \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Claim. 2d TFTs with defects give bicategory:

- objects (domains) = theories
- 1-morphisms (lines) = defects
- 2-morphisms (points) = fields



$$\begin{vmatrix} X & & Y \\ Y & = 1_X & \varphi \\ X & X & X \end{vmatrix} = \varphi : X \longrightarrow Y$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} X & & Y \\ X & = 1_X & \varphi \\ X & & X & Y \\ X & & X & X & X & X & X & Y \end{vmatrix} = \varphi \otimes \varphi = \varphi$$



$$\phi \bigwedge_{X = Y}^{Z} = \phi : X \otimes Y \longrightarrow Z$$

Joyal/Street 1991





Joyal/Street 1991



















Joyal/Street 1991

Orientation and adjoints

$$\overbrace{X^{\dagger} \quad X}^{\mathcal{I}} = \operatorname{ev}_{X} : X^{\dagger} \otimes X \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \qquad \bigvee_{\tau}^{X} \stackrel{X^{\dagger}}{\longrightarrow} = \operatorname{coev}_{X} : \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow X \otimes X^{\dagger}$$
Orientation and adjoints

$$\bigwedge_{X^{\dagger} \quad X}^{\mathcal{I}} = \operatorname{ev}_{X} : X^{\dagger} \otimes X \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \qquad \bigvee_{\tau}^{X \quad X^{\dagger}} = \operatorname{coev}_{X} : \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow X \otimes X^{\dagger}$$

Defects are topological:



Orientation and adjoints

$$\overbrace{X^{\dagger} \quad X}^{\mathcal{I}} = \operatorname{ev}_{X} : X^{\dagger} \otimes X \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \qquad \bigvee_{\stackrel{I}{\mathcal{I}}}^{X \quad X^{\dagger}} = \operatorname{coev}_{X} : \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow X \otimes X^{\dagger}$$

Defects are **topological**:

$$1_{X} = \bigwedge_{X} X = X \qquad X^{\dagger} = \bigwedge_{X} P \circ (1 \otimes ev) \circ (\operatorname{coev} \otimes 1) \circ \lambda^{-1} \qquad \bigvee_{X^{\dagger}} Z^{\dagger} = \bigwedge_{X^{\dagger}} X^{\dagger}$$

Orientation and adjoints

Defects are topological:



Definition. A bicategory has adjoints if for each 1-morphism X there is a 1-morphism X^{\dagger} with 2-morphisms ev_X , $coev_X$ such that the above *Zorro moves* hold.

• theories: potentials $W \in R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\dim(R/(\partial W)) < \infty$

- theories: potentials $W \in R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\dim(R/(\partial W)) < \infty$
- defects between $W \in R$ and $V \in S$: matrix factorisations of V W, i. e. free \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $(R \otimes S)$ -modules $X = X^0 \oplus X^1$ with

$$d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_X^1 \\ d_X^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{End}_{R \otimes S}^1(X), \qquad d_X^2 = (V - W) \cdot 1_X$$

- theories: potentials $W \in R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\dim(R/(\partial W)) < \infty$
- defects between $W \in R$ and $V \in S$: matrix factorisations of V W, i. e. free \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $(R \otimes S)$ -modules $X = X^0 \oplus X^1$ with

$$d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_X^1 \\ d_X^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{End}_{R \otimes S}^1(X), \qquad d_X^2 = (V - W) \cdot 1_X$$

• fields between X and Y: (BRST) cohomology of

$$\operatorname{Hom}(X,Y) \ni \psi \longmapsto d_Y \psi - (-1)^{|\psi|} \psi d_X$$

Kontsevich, Kapustin/Li 2002, Lazaroiu 2003, Brunner/Roggenkamp 2007

- theories: potentials $W \in R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\dim(R/(\partial W)) < \infty$
- defects between $W \in R$ and $V \in S$: matrix factorisations of V W, i. e. free \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $(R \otimes S)$ -modules $X = X^0 \oplus X^1$ with

$$d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_X^1 \\ d_X^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{End}_{R \otimes S}^1(X), \qquad d_X^2 = (V - W) \cdot 1_X$$

• fields between X and Y: (BRST) cohomology of

$$\operatorname{Hom}(X,Y) \ni \psi \longmapsto d_Y \psi - (-1)^{|\psi|} \psi d_X$$

Example. $R = \mathbb{C}[x], W = x^d, X = R \oplus R$

Kontsevich, Kapustin/Li 2002, Lazaroiu 2003, Brunner/Roggenkamp 2007

- theories: potentials $W \in R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\dim(R/(\partial W)) < \infty$
- defects between $W \in R$ and $V \in S$: matrix factorisations of V W, i. e. free \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $(R \otimes S)$ -modules $X = X^0 \oplus X^1$ with

$$d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_X^1 \\ d_X^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{End}_{R\otimes S}^1(X), \qquad d_X^2 = (V - W) \cdot 1_X$$

• fields between X and Y: (BRST) cohomology of

$$\operatorname{Hom}(X,Y) \ni \psi \longmapsto d_Y \psi - (-1)^{|\psi|} \psi d_X$$

Example. $R = \mathbb{C}[x], W = x^d, X = R \oplus R$,

$$d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^n \\ x^{d-n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} x^i & 0 \\ 0 & x^i \end{pmatrix}$$

Kontsevich, Kapustin/Li 2002, Lazaroiu 2003, Brunner/Roggenkamp 2007

• defect fusion: $X \otimes Y$, $d_{X \otimes Y} = d_X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Y$

• defect fusion: $X \otimes Y$, $d_{X \otimes Y} = d_X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Y$ • invisible defect:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = (R \otimes R)^{\oplus 2}, \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x - y \\ \frac{W(x) - W(y)}{x - y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• defect fusion: $X \otimes Y$, $d_{X \otimes Y} = d_X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Y$ • invisible defect:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = (R \otimes R)^{\oplus 2}, \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x - y \\ \frac{W(x) - W(y)}{x - y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for n = 1, in general:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = \bigwedge \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R \otimes R) \cdot \theta_i \right), \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left((x_i - y_i) \cdot \theta_i^* + \partial_{[i]} W \cdot \theta_i \right)$$

• defect fusion: $X \otimes Y$, $d_{X \otimes Y} = d_X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Y$ • invisible defect:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = (R \otimes R)^{\oplus 2}, \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x - y \\ \frac{W(x) - W(y)}{x - y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for n = 1, in general:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = \bigwedge \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R \otimes R) \cdot \theta_i \right), \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left((x_i - y_i) \cdot \theta_i^* + \partial_{[i]} W \cdot \theta_i \right)$$

Fact. End(\mathcal{I}_W) $\cong R/(\partial W)$

• defect fusion: $X \otimes Y$, $d_{X \otimes Y} = d_X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Y$ • invisible defect:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = (R \otimes R)^{\oplus 2}, \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x - y \\ \frac{W(x) - W(y)}{x - y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for n = 1, in general:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = \bigwedge \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R \otimes R) \cdot \theta_i \right), \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left((x_i - y_i) \cdot \theta_i^* + \partial_{[i]} W \cdot \theta_i \right)$$

Fact. End(\mathcal{I}_W) $\cong R/(\partial W) = \mathsf{bulk}$ space

• defect fusion: $X \otimes Y$, $d_{X \otimes Y} = d_X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Y$ • invisible defect:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = (R \otimes R)^{\oplus 2}, \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x - y \\ \frac{W(x) - W(y)}{x - y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for n = 1, in general:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = \bigwedge \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R \otimes R) \cdot \theta_i \right), \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left((x_i - y_i) \cdot \theta_i^* + \partial_{[i]} W \cdot \theta_i \right)$$

Fact. End(\mathcal{I}_W) $\cong R/(\partial W) = \mathsf{bulk}$ space

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \downarrow \\ \lambda_X : \mathcal{I} \otimes X \longrightarrow (R \otimes R) \otimes X \xrightarrow{\mathsf{mult.}} X \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{I} \\ X \end{array}$$

• defect fusion: $X \otimes Y$, $d_{X \otimes Y} = d_X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Y$ • invisible defect:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = (R \otimes R)^{\oplus 2}, \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x - y \\ \frac{W(x) - W(y)}{x - y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for n = 1, in general:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = \bigwedge \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R \otimes R) \cdot \theta_i \right), \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left((x_i - y_i) \cdot \theta_i^* + \partial_{[i]} W \cdot \theta_i \right)$$

Fact. End(\mathcal{I}_W) $\cong R/(\partial W) = \mathsf{bulk}$ space

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \downarrow \\ \lambda_X : \mathcal{I} \otimes X \longrightarrow (R \otimes R) \otimes X \xrightarrow{\mathsf{mult.}} X , \qquad \rho_X \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{I} X \end{array} : X \otimes \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow X$$

• defect fusion: $X \otimes Y$, $d_{X \otimes Y} = d_X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Y$ • invisible defect:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = (R \otimes R)^{\oplus 2}, \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x - y \\ \frac{W(x) - W(y)}{x - y} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for n = 1, in general:

$$\mathcal{I}_W = \bigwedge \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R \otimes R) \cdot \theta_i \right), \qquad d_{\mathcal{I}_W} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left((x_i - y_i) \cdot \theta_i^* + \partial_{[i]} W \cdot \theta_i \right)$$

Fact. End(\mathcal{I}_W) $\cong R/(\partial W) = \mathsf{bulk}$ space

$$X \longrightarrow X \to X \longrightarrow (R \otimes R) \otimes X \xrightarrow{\mathsf{mult.}} X, \qquad \rho_X \longrightarrow X \otimes \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow X$$

• operator product: matrix multiplication

Theorem. Landau-Ginzburg models give a bicategory, called \mathcal{LG} .

Theorem. Landau-Ginzburg models give a bicategory, called \mathcal{LG} .

Theorem. \mathcal{LG} has adjoints

Carqueville/Runkel 2009, Carqueville/Murfet 2012 (note that here and below we do not display various signs)

Theorem. Landau-Ginzburg models give a bicategory, called \mathcal{LG} .

Theorem. \mathcal{LG} has adjoints:

Let $W \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $V \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_m]$, X matrix fact. of V - W:



Theorem. Landau-Ginzburg models give a bicategory, called \mathcal{LG} .

Theorem. \mathcal{LG} has adjoints:

Let $W \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $V \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_m]$, X matrix fact. of V - W:



Theorem. Landau-Ginzburg models give a bicategory, called \mathcal{LG} .

Theorem. \mathcal{LG} has adjoints: Let $W \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $V \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_m]$, X matrix fact. of V - W:

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & &$$

Theorem. Landau-Ginzburg models give a bicategory, called \mathcal{LG} .

Theorem. \mathcal{LG} has adjoints: Let $W \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $V \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_m]$, X matrix fact. of V - W:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

$$\bigvee_{\stackrel{}{\underset{I}{\overset{}}}} X^{\dagger} = \operatorname{coev}_{X} : 1 \longmapsto \partial_{[1]} d_{X} \dots \partial_{[n]} d_{X} \in X \otimes X^{\vee}$$

Carqueville/Runkel 2009, Carqueville/Murfet 2012 (note that here and below we do not display various signs)

Theorem. Landau-Ginzburg models give a bicategory, called \mathcal{LG} .

Theorem. \mathcal{LG} has adjoints: Let $W \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $V \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_m]$, X matrix fact. of V - W:

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & &$$

Carqueville/Runkel 2009, Carqueville/Murfet 2012 (note that here and below we do not display various signs)

(1) Boundary topological metric / 2-point disk correlator



(1) Boundary topological metric / 2-point disk correlator



(1) Boundary topological metric / 2-point disk correlator



(1) Boundary topological metric / 2-point disk correlator



Recover Kapustin-Li pairing as a 2-morphism!

Kapustin/Li 2003, Herbst/Lazaroiu 2004

(2) Defect action on bulk fields

$$\mathcal{D}^r_X(\psi) = \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & &$$

$$\mathcal{D}_X^r(\psi) = X \underbrace{\psi \bullet}_{X} \underbrace{\psi \bullet}_{X^{\dagger}} = \operatorname{Res} \left[\frac{\psi \operatorname{str} \left(\Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)} \right) \underline{dx}}{\partial_{x_1} W \dots \partial_{x_n} W} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{D}_X^r(\psi) = X \underbrace{\psi \bullet}_{X} \underbrace{\psi \bullet}_{X^{\dagger}} = \operatorname{Res} \left[\frac{\psi \operatorname{str} \left(\Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)} \right) \underline{dx}}{\partial_{x_1} W \dots \partial_{x_n} W} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{D}_X^l(\phi) = \underbrace{\phi \bullet}_X = \underbrace{\phi \bullet}_{\lambda_X^{-1}} = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\phi \operatorname{str}\left(\Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)}\right) \underline{\mathrm{d}}z}{\partial_{z_1} V \dots \partial_{z_m} V}\right]$$



Special cases $\mathcal{D}_X^l(1)$ and $\mathcal{D}_X^r(1)$ are left and right quantum dimensions.

$$\mathcal{D}_X^r(\psi) = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\psi \operatorname{str}\left(\Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)}\right) \underline{\mathrm{d}}x}{\partial_{x_1} W \dots \partial_{x_n} W}\right], \qquad \mathcal{D}_X^l(\phi) = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\phi \operatorname{str}\left(\Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)}\right) \underline{\mathrm{d}}z}{\partial_{z_1} V \dots \partial_{z_m} V}\right]$$

$$\mathcal{D}_X^r(\psi) = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\psi \operatorname{str}\left(\Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)}\right) \underline{\mathrm{d}}x}{\partial_{x_1} W \dots \partial_{x_n} W}\right], \qquad \mathcal{D}_X^l(\phi) = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\phi \operatorname{str}\left(\Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)}\right) \underline{\mathrm{d}}z}{\partial_{z_1} V \dots \partial_{z_m} V}\right]$$

Left and right defect actions are adjoint with respect to the bulk topological metric:

$$\left\langle \mathcal{D}_X^l(\phi), \psi \right\rangle_W = \left\langle \phi, \mathcal{D}_X^r(\psi) \right\rangle_V, \qquad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_V = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\alpha \beta \, \underline{\mathrm{d}} z}{\partial_{z_1} V \dots \partial_{z_m} V} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{D}_X^r(\psi) = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\psi \operatorname{str}\left(\Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)}\right) \underline{\mathrm{d}}x}{\partial_{x_1} W \dots \partial_{x_n} W}\right], \qquad \mathcal{D}_X^l(\phi) = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\phi \operatorname{str}\left(\Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)}\right) \underline{\mathrm{d}}z}{\partial_{z_1} V \dots \partial_{z_m} V}\right]$$

Left and right defect actions are adjoint with respect to the bulk topological metric:

$$\left\langle \mathcal{D}_X^l(\phi), \psi \right\rangle_W = \left\langle \phi, \mathcal{D}_X^r(\psi) \right\rangle_V, \qquad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_V = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\alpha \beta \, \underline{\mathrm{d}} z}{\partial_{z_1} V \dots \partial_{z_m} V} \right]$$








Special cases:

• V = 0 gives Kapustin-Li disk correlator

$$X \underbrace{\psi}_{X} \underbrace{\psi}_{X} = \operatorname{Res} \left[\frac{\psi \operatorname{str} \left(\Phi \Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)} \right) \mathrm{d}x}{\partial_{x_1} W \dots \partial_{x_n} W} \right]$$

Special cases:

- V = 0 gives Kapustin-Li disk correlator
- W = 0 gives boundary-bulk map β^X :

$$X \bigoplus^{\Phi} = \operatorname{str} \left(\Phi \, \partial_{z_1} d_X \dots \partial_{z_m} d_X \right) =: \beta^X(\Phi)$$

$$X \underbrace{\psi}_{X} \underbrace{\psi}_{X} = \operatorname{Res} \left[\frac{\psi \operatorname{str} \left(\Phi \Lambda_X^{(x)} \Lambda_X^{(z)} \right) \underline{\mathrm{d}} x}{\partial_{x_1} W \dots \partial_{x_n} W} \right]$$

Special cases:

- V = 0 gives Kapustin-Li disk correlator
- W = 0 gives boundary-bulk map β^X :

$$X \bigoplus^{\Phi} = \operatorname{str} \left(\Phi \, \partial_{z_1} d_X \dots \partial_{z_m} d_X \right) =: \beta^X(\Phi)$$

 $ch(X) := \beta^X(1) = str\left(\partial_{z_1} d_X \dots \partial_{z_m} d_X\right)$ is the Chern character







(3) Cardy condition





(LG is also pivotal)



Theorem. The Cardy condition holds in \mathcal{LG}

Polishchuck/Vaintrob 2010, Carqueville/Murfet 2012

Theorem. The Cardy condition holds in \mathcal{LG} : for matrix factorisations X, Y of W and maps $\varphi : X \longrightarrow X$, $\psi : Y \longrightarrow Y$ we have

$$\operatorname{str}\left(\psi\circ(-)\circ\varphi\right) = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\beta^{X}(\varphi)\,\beta^{Y}(\psi)\,\underline{\mathrm{d}}x}{\partial_{1}W\dots\partial_{n}W}\right]$$

Theorem. The Cardy condition holds in \mathcal{LG} : for matrix factorisations X, Y of W and maps $\varphi : X \longrightarrow X$, $\psi : Y \longrightarrow Y$ we have

$$\operatorname{str}\left(\psi\circ(-)\circ\varphi\right) = \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\beta^{X}(\varphi)\,\beta^{Y}(\psi)\,\underline{\mathrm{d}}x}{\partial_{1}W\dots\partial_{n}W}\right]$$

Special case $\varphi = 1_X$, $\psi = 1_Y$ gives the Landau-Ginzburg version of the **Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem**:

$$\chi(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})) = \int \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E}^*) \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \operatorname{Td}(X)$$

Polishchuck/Vaintrob 2010, Carqueville/Murfet 2012

(4) Generalised orbifolds (work with Ingo Runkel)

(4) Generalised orbifolds (work with Ingo Runkel)

Theorem. Let $X \in \mathcal{LG}(W, V)$ have invertible quantum dimensions.

• $A = X^{\dagger} \otimes X$ is a special symmetric Frobenius algebra.

(4) Generalised orbifolds (work with Ingo Runkel)

Theorem. Let $X \in \mathcal{LG}(W, V)$ have invertible quantum dimensions.

- $A = X^{\dagger} \otimes X$ is a special symmetric Frobenius algebra.
- \bullet Everything about theory V can be recovered from A

(4) Generalised orbifolds (work with Ingo Runkel)

Theorem. Let $X \in \mathcal{LG}(W, V)$ have invertible quantum dimensions.

- $A = X^{\dagger} \otimes X$ is a special symmetric Frobenius algebra.
- Everything about theory V can be recovered from A:
 - $\mathcal{LG}(0, V) \cong \operatorname{mod}(A)$ (boundary sector)
 - $\mathcal{LG}(V, V) \cong \operatorname{bimod}(A)$ (defect sector)

(4) Generalised orbifolds (work with Ingo Runkel)

Theorem. Let $X \in \mathcal{LG}(W, V)$ have invertible quantum dimensions.

- $A = X^{\dagger} \otimes X$ is a special symmetric Frobenius algebra.
- Everything about theory V can be recovered from A:
 - $\mathcal{LG}(0, V) \cong \operatorname{mod}(A)$ (boundary sector)
 - $\mathcal{LG}(V, V) \cong \operatorname{bimod}(A)$ (defect sector)

Idea. Introducing X-bubbles in W-correlator is scaling by qdim(X). Blowing up all X-bubbles produces V-correlator with defect network.



Examples for generalised orbifolds:

• "ordinary" orbifolds: for discrete symmetry group G of W we have $\mathcal{LG}(0,W)^G \cong \operatorname{mod}(\bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{I}_g)$

Examples for generalised orbifolds:

- "ordinary" orbifolds: for discrete symmetry group G of W we have $\mathcal{LG}(0,W)^G \cong \operatorname{mod}(\bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{I}_g)$
- $\bullet~\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-orbifold}$ between A- and D-type minimal models

Examples for generalised orbifolds:

- "ordinary" orbifolds: for discrete symmetry group G of W we have $\mathcal{LG}(0, W)^G \cong \operatorname{mod}(\bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{I}_g)$
- \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold between A- and D-type minimal models:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{x^{d} - u^{2d}}{x - u^{2}} - y^{2} \\ x - u^{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z + uy \\ z - uy & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is defect between $W=u^{2d}$ and $V=x^d-xy^2+z^2, \mbox{ has invertible quantum dimensions}$

Examples for generalised orbifolds:

- "ordinary" orbifolds: for discrete symmetry group G of W we have $\mathcal{LG}(0, W)^G \cong \operatorname{mod}(\bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{I}_g)$
- \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold between A- and D-type minimal models:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{x^{d} - u^{2d}}{x - u^{2}} - y^{2} \\ x - u^{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z + uy \\ z - uy & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is defect between $W=u^{2d}$ and $V=x^d-xy^2+z^2, \mbox{ has invertible quantum dimensions}$

• similar equivalences expected e.g. between A- and E-type

Examples for generalised orbifolds:

- "ordinary" orbifolds: for discrete symmetry group G of W we have $\mathcal{LG}(0, W)^G \cong \operatorname{mod}(\bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{I}_g)$
- \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold between A- and D-type minimal models:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{x^{d} - u^{2d}}{x - u^{2}} - y^{2} \\ x - u^{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z + uy \\ z - uy & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is defect between $W=u^{2d}$ and $V=x^d-xy^2+z^2, \ {\rm has}$ invertible quantum dimensions

• similar equivalences expected e.g. between A- and E-type

Task. Classify all defects with invertible quantum dimensions (and find new equivalences this way)!

"2d TFT with defects = bicategory + x"

"2d TFT with defects = bicategory + x": natural, easy, useful

"2d TFT with defects = bicategory + x": natural, easy, useful

Theorem. The bicategory of **Landau-Ginzburg models** has adjoints. (conceptual construction, yet very "computable")

"2d TFT with defects = bicategory + x": natural, easy, useful

Theorem. The bicategory of **Landau-Ginzburg models** has adjoints. (conceptual construction, yet very "computable")

Description naturally incorporates known structure:

- disk correlators
- boundary-bulk maps
- defect action on bulk fields, quantum dimensions
- Cardy condition
- . . .

"2d TFT with defects = bicategory + x": natural, easy, useful

Theorem. The bicategory of **Landau-Ginzburg models** has adjoints. (conceptual construction, yet very "computable")

Description naturally incorporates known structure:

- disk correlators
- boundary-bulk maps
- defect action on bulk fields, quantum dimensions
- Cardy condition
- . . .

Also allows to find new structure: generalised orbifolds