

Unification of Type IIA and IIB Supergravities :

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ *Supersymmetric Double Field Theory*

Jeong-Hyuck Park

Sogang University, Seoul

Edinburgh 25th September 2013

- Without vector notation, Maxwell's original equations consisted of eight (or twenty) formulas.
- It was the rotational $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ or Lorentz $\mathbf{SO}(1,3)$ symmetry that reorganized them into four or two compact equations.
- This talk aims to show that **Type IIA & IIB supergravities may undergo an analogous 'simplification' and 'unification'** with renewed understanding of T-duality, in the name of $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 10$ **Supersymmetric Double Field Theory**.

- Without vector notation, Maxwell's original equations consisted of eight (or twenty) formulas.
- It was the rotational $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ or Lorentz $\mathbf{SO}(1,3)$ symmetry that reorganized them into four or two compact equations.
- This talk aims to show that **Type IIA & IIB supergravities may undergo an analogous 'simplification' and 'unification'** with renewed understanding of T-duality, in the name of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ **Supersymmetric Double Field Theory**.

- Without vector notation, Maxwell's original equations consisted of eight (or twenty) formulas.
- It was the rotational $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ or Lorentz $\mathbf{SO}(1,3)$ symmetry that reorganized them into four or two compact equations.
- This talk aims to show that **Type IIA & IIB supergravities may undergo an analogous 'simplification' and 'unification'** with renewed understanding of T-duality, in the name of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ **Supersymmetric Double Field Theory**.

- Without vector notation, Maxwell's original equations consisted of eight (or twenty) formulas.
- It was the rotational $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ or Lorentz $\mathbf{SO}(1,3)$ symmetry that reorganized them into four or two compact equations.
- This talk aims to show that **Type IIA & IIB supergravities may undergo an analogous 'simplification' and 'unification'** with renewed understanding of **T-duality**, in the name of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ **Supersymmetric Double Field Theory**.

- Differential geometry with a projection: Application to double field theory
JHEP 1104:014 arXiv:1011.1324
- Double field formulation of Yang-Mills theory PLB 701:260(2011) arXiv:1102.0419
- Stringy differential geometry, beyond Riemann PRD 84:044022(2011) arXiv:1105.6294
- Incorporation of fermions into double field theory JHEP 1111:025 arXiv:1109.2035
- Supersymmetric Double Field Theory: Stringy Reformulation of Supergravity
PRD Rapid Comm. 85:081501 (2012) arXiv:1112.0069
- Ramond-Ramond Cohomology and $O(D,D)$ T-duality JHEP 1209:079 arXiv:1206.3478
- **Stringy Unification of Type IIA and IIB Supergravities under $\mathcal{N} = 2 D = 10$
Supersymmetric Double Field Theory** PLB 723:245(2013) arXiv:1210.5078
- U-geometry : $SL(5)$ with Yoonji Suh JHEP 1304:147 arXiv:1302.1652
- Comments on double field theory and diffeomorphisms JHEP 1306:098 arXiv:1304.5946
- Covariant action for a string in doubled yet gauged spacetime arXiv:1307.8377

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.

- The low energy effective action of $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ is well known in terms of Riemannian geometry

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right).$$

- Diffeomorphism and B -field gauge symmetry are manifest,

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu.$$

- Though not manifest, this enjoys T-duality which mixes $\{g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi\}$. Buscher

- The low energy effective action of $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ is well known in terms of Riemannian geometry

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right).$$

- Diffeomorphism and B -field gauge symmetry are manifest,

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu.$$

- Though not manifest, this enjoys T-duality which mixes $\{g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi\}$. Buscher

- The low energy effective action of $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ is well known in terms of Riemannian geometry

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right).$$

- Diffeomorphism and B -field gauge symmetry are manifest,

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu.$$

- Though not manifest, this enjoys T-duality which mixes $\{g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi\}$. Buscher

- Redefine the dilaton,

$$e^{-2d} = \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi}$$

- Set a $(D + D) \times (D + D)$ symmetric matrix, **Duff**

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

- Hereafter, A, B, \dots : ‘doubled’ $(D + D)$ -dimensional vector indices, with $D = 10$ for SUSY.

- T-duality is realized by an $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation, Tseytlin, Siegel

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} \longrightarrow M_A^C M_B^D \mathcal{H}_{CD}, \quad d \longrightarrow d,$$

where

$$M \in \mathbf{O}(D, D).$$

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ metric,

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

freely raises or lowers the $(D + D)$ -dimensional vector indices.

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Hull and Zwiebach, later with Hohm

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$, $A = 1, 2, \dots, D+D$.
- Yet, Double Field Theory (for NS-NS sector) is a D -dimensional theory written in terms of $(D+D)$ -dimensional language, i.e. tensors.
- All the fields MUST live on a D -dimensional null hyperplane or 'section', Σ_D .

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Hull and Zwiebach, later with Hohm

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$, $A = 1, 2, \dots, D+D$.
- Yet, Double Field Theory (for NS-NS sector) is a D -dimensional theory written in terms of $(D+D)$ -dimensional language, i.e. tensors.
- All the fields MUST live on a D -dimensional null hyperplane or 'section', Σ_D .

Double Field Theory (DFT)

- Hull and Zwiebach, later with Hohm

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$, $A = 1, 2, \dots, D+D$.
- Yet, Double Field Theory (for NS-NS sector) is a D -dimensional theory written in terms of $(D+D)$ -dimensional language, i.e. tensors.
- All the fields MUST live on a D -dimensional null hyperplane or 'section', Σ_D .

Section condition in Double Field Theory

- By stating DFT lives on a D -dimensional null hyperplane, we mean that, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ d'Alembert operator is trivial, acting on arbitrary fields as well as their products:

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu \partial x^\mu} \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0 \quad : \quad \text{section condition}$$

What does $O(D, D)$ do in Double Field Theory?

- $O(D, D)$ rotates the D -dimensional null hyperplane where DFT lives.
- *A priori*, the $O(D, D)$ structure in DFT is a ‘meta-symmetry’ or ‘hidden symmetry’ rather than a Noether symmetry.
- Only after dimensional reductions,

$$D = d + n \implies d,$$

it can generate a Noether symmetry,

$$O(n, n)$$

which is a subgroup of $O(D, D)$ and ‘enhanced’ from $O(n)$.

What does $O(D, D)$ do in Double Field Theory?

- $O(D, D)$ rotates the D -dimensional null hyperplane where DFT lives.
- *A priori*, the $O(D, D)$ structure in DFT is a ‘meta-symmetry’ or ‘hidden symmetry’ rather than a Noether symmetry.
- Only after dimensional reductions,

$$D = d + n \implies d,$$

it can generate a Noether symmetry,

$$O(n, n)$$

which is a subgroup of $O(D, D)$ and ‘enhanced’ from $O(n)$.

What does $O(D, D)$ do in Double Field Theory?

- $O(D, D)$ rotates the D -dimensional null hyperplane where DFT lives.
- *A priori*, the $O(D, D)$ structure in DFT is a ‘meta-symmetry’ or ‘hidden symmetry’ rather than a Noether symmetry.
- Only after dimensional reductions,

$$D = d + n \implies d,$$

it can generate a Noether symmetry,

$$O(n, n)$$

which is a subgroup of $O(D, D)$ and ‘enhanced’ from $O(n)$.

String worldsheet origin of the section condition

- Closed string

$$X_L(\sigma^+) = \frac{1}{2}(x + \tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(p + w)\sigma^+ + \dots,$$

$$X_R(\sigma^-) = \frac{1}{2}(x - \tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(p - w)\sigma^- + \dots.$$

- Under T-duality,

$$X_L + X_R \longrightarrow X_L - X_R,$$

such that

$$(x, \tilde{x}, p, w) \longrightarrow (\tilde{x}, x, w, p).$$

- **Level matching condition** for the massless sector,

$$p \cdot w \equiv 0 \iff \partial_A \partial^A = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

String worldsheet origin of the section condition

- Closed string

$$X_L(\sigma^+) = \frac{1}{2}(x + \tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(p + w)\sigma^+ + \dots,$$

$$X_R(\sigma^-) = \frac{1}{2}(x - \tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(p - w)\sigma^- + \dots.$$

- Under T-duality,

$$X_L + X_R \longrightarrow X_L - X_R,$$

such that

$$(x, \tilde{x}, p, w) \longrightarrow (\tilde{x}, x, w, p).$$

- **Level matching condition** for the massless sector,

$$p \cdot w \equiv 0 \iff \partial_A \partial^A = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

Section condition in Double Field Theory

- Up to $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation, we may further choose to set

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- Then DFT reduces to the effective action:

$$S_{\text{DFT}} \implies S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^2 \right).$$

Section condition in Double Field Theory

- Up to $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation, we may further choose to set

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- Then DFT reduces to the effective action:

$$S_{\text{DFT}} \implies S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^2 \right).$$

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#) the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest.
- *What about the diffeomorphism and the B-field gauge symmetry?*

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#) the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest.
- *What about the diffeomorphism and the B-field gauge symmetry?*

- Introducing a unifying $(D + D)$ -dimensional parameter,

$$X^A = (\Lambda_\mu, \delta x^\nu)$$

it is possible to spell a unifying transformation rule, up to the section condition,

$$\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$

$$\delta_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}).$$

- In fact, these coincide with the **generalized Lie derivative**,

$$\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB}, \quad \delta_X (e^{-2d}) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) = -2(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d) e^{-2d}.$$

- Introducing a unifying $(D + D)$ -dimensional parameter,

$$X^A = (\Lambda_\mu, \delta x^\nu)$$

it is possible to spell a unifying transformation rule, up to the section condition,

$$\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$

$$\delta_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}).$$

- In fact, these coincide with the **generalized Lie derivative**,

$$\delta_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB}, \quad \delta_X (e^{-2d}) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) = -2(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d) e^{-2d}.$$

Generalized Lie derivative

- **Definition** Siegel, Courant, Grana ...

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- cf. ordinary one in Riemannian geometry,

$$\mathcal{L}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{A_i} X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- Commutator of the generalized Lie derivatives,

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_Y] \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[X, Y]_{\mathbb{C}}},$$

where $[X, Y]_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the C-bracket,

$$[X, Y]_{\mathbb{C}}^A := X^B \partial_B Y^A - Y^B \partial_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \partial^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \partial^A Y_B.$$

- **Definition** Siegel, Courant, Grana ...

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} ^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- *cf.* ordinary one in Riemannian geometry,

$$\mathcal{L}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{A_i} X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- Commutator of the generalized Lie derivatives,

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_Y] \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[X, Y]_{\mathbb{C}}},$$

where $[X, Y]_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the C-bracket,

$$[X, Y]_{\mathbb{C}}^A := X^B \partial_B Y^A - Y^B \partial_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \partial^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \partial^A Y_B.$$

- **Definition** Siegel, Courant, Grana ...

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} ^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n.$$

- cf. ordinary one in Riemannian geometry,

$$\mathcal{L}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{A_i} X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n.$$

- Commutator of the generalized Lie derivatives,

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_Y] \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[X, Y]_{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where $[X, Y]_{\mathbf{C}}$ denotes the C-bracket,

$$[X, Y]_{\mathbf{C}}^A := X^B \partial_B Y^A - Y^B \partial_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \partial^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \partial^A Y_B.$$

- Brute force computation can show that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}),$$

are symmetry of the action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#)

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- This expression may be analogous to the case of writing the Riemannian scalar curvature, \mathcal{R} , in terms of the metric and its derivative.

- Brute force computation can show that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}),$$

are symmetry of the action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#)

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- This expression may be analogous to the case of writing the Riemannian scalar curvature, R , in terms of the metric and its derivative.

Diffeomorphism & B -field gauge symmetry

- Brute force computation can show that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}),$$

are symmetry of the action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#)

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- The underlying differential geometry is missing here.

Diffeomorphism & B -field gauge symmetry

- Brute force computation can show that

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{AB} \equiv X^C \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{AB} + 2\partial_{[A} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}^C{}_B + 2\partial_{[B} X_{C]} \mathcal{H}_A{}^C,$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (e^{-2d}) \equiv \partial_A (X^A e^{-2d}),$$

are symmetry of the action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#)

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = & \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) \\ & + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}. \end{aligned}$$

- The underlying differential geometry is missing here.

Stringy differential geometry and Supersymmetric Double Field Theory (SDFT)

The remaining of this talk is structured to explain our works:

[1011.1324, 1105.6294, 1109.2035, 1112.0069, 1206.3478, 1210.5078, 1304.5946]

- Proposal of a underlying stringy differential geometry for DFT
- The *full order* construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT which 'unifies' IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The reduction of SDFT to ordinary SUGRA *via* gauge fixing.

Stringy differential geometry and Supersymmetric Double Field Theory (SDFT)

The remaining of this talk is structured to explain our works:

[\[1011.1324, 1105.6294, 1109.2035, 1112.0069, 1206.3478, 1210.5078, 1304.5946\]](#)

- Proposal of a underlying stringy differential geometry for DFT
- The *full order* construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT which 'unifies' IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The reduction of SDFT to ordinary SUGRA *via* gauge fixing.

Stringy differential geometry and Supersymmetric Double Field Theory (SDFT)

The remaining of this talk is structured to explain our works:

[\[1011.1324, 1105.6294, 1109.2035, 1112.0069, 1206.3478, 1210.5078, 1304.5946\]](#)

- Proposal of a underlying stringy differential geometry for DFT
- The *full order* construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT which 'unifies' IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The reduction of SDFT to ordinary SUGRA *via* gauge fixing.

Stringy differential geometry and Supersymmetric Double Field Theory (SDFT)

The remaining of this talk is structured to explain our works:

[\[1011.1324, 1105.6294, 1109.2035, 1112.0069, 1206.3478, 1210.5078, 1304.5946\]](#)

- Proposal of a underlying stringy differential geometry for DFT
- The *full order* construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT which 'unifies' IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The reduction of SDFT to ordinary SUGRA *via* gauge fixing.

Symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 10$ SDFT

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality: *Meta-symmetry*
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- All the bosonic symmetries will be realized manifestly and simultaneously.
- For this, it is crucial to have the right field variables.
- We shall postulate $O(D, D)$ covariant **genuine DFT-field-variables**, and NOT employ Riemannian variables such as metric, B -field, R-R p -forms.

Symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 10$ SDFT

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality: *Meta-symmetry*
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- All the bosonic symmetries will be realized manifestly and simultaneously.
- For this, it is crucial to have the right field variables.
- We shall postulate $O(D, D)$ covariant **genuine DFT-field-variables**, and NOT employ Riemannian variables such as metric, B -field, R-R p -forms.

Symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 10$ SDFT

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality: *Meta-symmetry*
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- All the bosonic symmetries will be realized manifestly and simultaneously.
- For this, it is crucial to have the right field variables.
- We shall postulate $O(D, D)$ covariant genuine DFT-field-variables, and NOT employ Riemannian variables such as metric, B-field, R-R p -forms.

Symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 10$ SDFT

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality: *Meta-symmetry*
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- All the bosonic symmetries will be realized manifestly and simultaneously.
- For this, it is crucial to have the right field variables.
- We shall postulate $O(D, D)$ covariant **genuine DFT-field-variables**, and NOT employ Riemannian variables such as metric, B-field, R-R p -forms.

- All the fields are required to satisfy the section condition,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0,$$

which implies an invariance under a shift set by a ‘derivative-index-valued’ vector,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta^A = \varphi \partial^A \varphi' \quad \text{for some } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi'.$$

- The section condition implies, and in fact can be shown to be equivalent to, an **equivalence relation for the coordinates**,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi' : \text{‘Coordinate Gauge Symmetry’}$$

- A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in the coordinate space.
 \implies The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.

- Spacetime is doubled yet gauged!** (further remarks to come at the end of this talk)

- All the fields are required to satisfy the section condition,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0,$$

which implies an invariance under a shift set by a ‘derivative-index-valued’ vector,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta^A = \varphi \partial^A \varphi' \quad \text{for some } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi'.$$

- The section condition implies, and in fact can be shown to be equivalent to, an **equivalence relation for the coordinates**,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi' : \text{‘Coordinate Gauge Symmetry’}$$

- A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in the coordinate space.

⇒ The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.

- Spacetime is doubled yet gauged! (further remarks to come at the end of this talk)

- All the fields are required to satisfy the section condition,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0,$$

which implies an invariance under a shift set by a ‘derivative-index-valued’ vector,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta^A = \varphi \partial^A \varphi' \quad \text{for some } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi'.$$

- The section condition implies, and in fact can be shown to be equivalent to, an **equivalence relation for the coordinates**,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi' : \text{‘Coordinate Gauge Symmetry’}$$

- A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in the coordinate space.
 \implies The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.

- Spacetime is doubled yet gauged! (further remarks to come at the end of this talk)

- All the fields are required to satisfy the section condition,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0,$$

which implies an invariance under a shift set by a ‘derivative-index-valued’ vector,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta^A = \varphi \partial^A \varphi' \quad \text{for some } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi'.$$

- The section condition implies, and in fact can be shown to be equivalent to, an **equivalence relation for the coordinates**,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi' : \text{‘Coordinate Gauge Symmetry’}$$

- A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in the coordinate space.

⇒ The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.

- Spacetime is doubled yet gauged!** (further remarks to come at the end of this talk)

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{p}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi_{\bar{p}}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{Ap}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{p}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi_{\bar{p}}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{Ap}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi^{\alpha}_{\bar{p}}, \quad \psi^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{p}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi_{\rho}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}$

Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi_{\rho}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}$

Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\rho}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi'_{\bar{\rho}}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

Bosons

- NS-NS sector
 - DFT-dilaton: d
 - DFT-vielbeins: $V_{Ap}, \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{p}}^{\alpha}, \psi'_{p^{\bar{\alpha}}}$

Index	Representation	Metric (raising/lowering indices)
A, B, \dots	$O(D, D)$ & DFT-diffeom. vector	\mathcal{J}_{AB}
p, q, \dots	$\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ vector	$\eta_{pq} = \text{diag}(- + + \dots +)$
α, β, \dots	$\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ spinor	$C_{+\alpha\beta}, (\gamma^p)^T = C_+ \gamma^p C_+^{-1}$
\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \dots	$\text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ vector	$\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \text{diag}(+ - - \dots -)$
$\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \dots$	$\text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ spinor	$\bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}, (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}})^T = \bar{C}_+ \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \bar{C}_+^{-1}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi'_{\rho}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

**R-R potential and Fermions carry NOT $(D + D)$ -dimensional
BUT undoubled D -dimensional indices.**

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{p}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi'_{\bar{p}}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

A priori, $O(D, D)$ rotates only the $O(D, D)$ vector indices (capital Roman), and the R-R sector and all the fermions are $O(D, D)$ T-duality singlet.

The usual IIA \Leftrightarrow IIB exchange will follow only after fixing a gauge.

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B{}^p + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_{\bar{p}}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^\alpha{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} C \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' C.$$

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B^P + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{P}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_p &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_p, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} C \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' C.$$

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B^p + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_p &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_p, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} C \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' C.$$

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B^p + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_p &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_p, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} C \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' C.$$

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B{}^p + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_p &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_p, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{C} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' \mathcal{C}.$$

- $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_p &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_p, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that, while the theory is unique the solutions are twofold and can be identified as type IIA or IIB supergravity backgrounds.

- $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_p &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_p, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that, while the theory is unique the solutions are twofold and can be identified as type IIA or IIB supergravity backgrounds.

- $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_{\rho} &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_{\rho}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that, while the theory is unique the solutions are twofold and can be identified as type IIA or IIB supergravity backgrounds.

- $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_p &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_p, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that, while the theory is unique the solutions are twofold and can be identified as type IIA or IIB supergravity backgrounds.

- $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_p &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_p, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\text{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\text{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\text{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that, **while the theory is unique the solutions are twofold** and can be identified as type IIA or IIB supergravity backgrounds.

- The DFT-vielbeins generate **a pair of rank-two projectors**,

$$P_{AB} := V_A^P V_{B\rho}, \quad P_A^B P_B^C = P_A^C, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} := \bar{V}_A^{\bar{P}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = \bar{P}_A^C,$$

which are symmetric, orthogonal and complementary to each other,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = 0, \quad P_A^B + \bar{P}_A^B = \delta_A^B.$$

- It follows

$$P_A^B V_{B\rho} = V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B V_{B\rho} = 0, \quad P_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = 0.$$

- Note also

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB}.$$

However, our emphasis lies on the ‘projectors’ rather than the “generalized metric”.

- The DFT-vielbeins generate **a pair of rank-two projectors**,

$$P_{AB} := V_A^P V_{B\rho}, \quad P_A^B P_B^C = P_A^C, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} := \bar{V}_A^{\bar{P}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = \bar{P}_A^C,$$

which are symmetric, orthogonal and complementary to each other,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = 0, \quad P_A^B + \bar{P}_A^B = \delta_A^B.$$

- It follows

$$P_A^B V_{B\rho} = V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B V_{B\rho} = 0, \quad P_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = 0.$$

- Note also

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB}.$$

However, our emphasis lies on the ‘projectors’ rather than the “generalized metric”.

- The DFT-vielbeins generate **a pair of rank-two projectors**,

$$P_{AB} := V_A^P V_{Bp}, \quad P_A^B P_B^C = P_A^C, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} := \bar{V}_A^{\bar{P}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = \bar{P}_A^C,$$

which are symmetric, orthogonal and complementary to each other,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = 0, \quad P_A^B + \bar{P}_A^B = \delta_A^B.$$

- It follows

$$P_A^B V_{Bp} = V_{Ap}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B V_{Bp} = 0, \quad P_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = 0.$$

- Note also

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB}.$$

However, our emphasis lies on the ‘projectors’ rather than the “generalized metric”.

- Further, we construct **a pair of rank-six projectors**,

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := P_C{}^D P_{[A}{}^{[E} P_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^{[E} P^{F]D}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \mathcal{P}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := \bar{P}_C{}^D \bar{P}_{[A}{}^{[E} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} \bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{[E} \bar{P}^{F]D}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

which are symmetric and traceless,

$$\mathcal{P}_{CABDEF} = \mathcal{P}_{DEFCAB} = \mathcal{P}_{C[AB]D[EF]}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CABDEF} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEFCAB} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{C[AB]D[EF]},$$

$$\mathcal{P}^A{}_{ABDEF} = 0, \quad P^{AB} \mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = 0, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}^A{}_{ABDEF} = 0, \quad \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABCDEF} = 0.$$

- Having all the ‘right’ field-variables prepared, we now discuss their derivatives or what we call, ‘**semi-covariant derivative**’.

Semi-covariant derivatives

- For each gauge symmetry we assign a corresponding connection,
 - Γ_A for the DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative),
 - Φ_A for the ‘unbarred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$,
 - $\bar{\Phi}_A$ for the ‘barred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$.
- Combining all of them, we introduce master ‘semi-covariant’ derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

Semi-covariant derivatives

- For each gauge symmetry we assign a corresponding connection,
 - Γ_A for the DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative),
 - Φ_A for the ‘unbarred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$,
 - $\bar{\Phi}_A$ for the ‘barred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$.
- Combining all of them, we introduce master ‘semi-covariant’ derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A, \quad D_A = \partial_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- The former is the ‘semi-covariant’ derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism (set by the generalized Lie derivative),

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- And the latter is the covariant derivative for the $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz symmetries.

- It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A, \quad D_A = \partial_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- The former is the ‘semi-covariant’ derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism (set by the generalized Lie derivative),

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- And the latter is the covariant derivative for the $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz symmetries.

- It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A, \quad D_A = \partial_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- The former is the ‘semi-covariant’ derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism (set by the generalized Lie derivative),

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- And the latter is the covariant derivative for the $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz symmetries.

- **By definition, the master derivative annihilates all the ‘constants’,**

$$\mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = \nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = \Gamma_{AB}{}^D \mathcal{J}_{DC} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^D \mathcal{J}_{BD} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \eta_{pq} = D_A \eta_{pq} = \Phi_{Ap}{}^r \eta_{rq} + \Phi_{Aq}{}^r \eta_{pr} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = D_A \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}}{}^{\bar{r}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{r}\bar{q}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{q}}{}^{\bar{r}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{r}} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A C_{+\alpha\beta} = D_A C_{+\alpha\beta} = \Phi_{A\alpha}{}^\delta C_{+\delta\beta} + \Phi_{A\beta}{}^\delta C_{+\alpha\delta} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = D_A \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\delta}} \bar{C}_{+\bar{\delta}\bar{\beta}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\beta}}{}^{\bar{\delta}} \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\delta}} = 0,$$

including the gamma matrices,

$$\mathcal{D}_A (\gamma^p)^\alpha{}_\beta = D_A (\gamma^p)^\alpha{}_\beta = \Phi_{A^p}{}^q (\gamma^q)^\alpha{}_\beta + \Phi_{A^\alpha}{}^\delta (\gamma^p)^\delta{}_\beta - (\gamma^p)^\alpha{}_\delta \Phi_A{}^\delta{}_\beta = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = D_A (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A^{\bar{p}}}{}^{\bar{q}} (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A^{\bar{\alpha}}}{}^{\bar{\delta}} (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}})^{\bar{\delta}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} - (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\delta}} \bar{\Phi}_A{}^{\bar{\delta}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = 0.$$

- It follows then that the connections are all anti-symmetric,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = -\Gamma_{ACB},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = -\Phi_{Aqp}, \quad \Phi_{A\alpha\beta} = -\Phi_{A\beta\alpha},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{q}\bar{p}}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = -\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}},$$

and as usual,

$$\Phi_A^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4}\Phi_{Apq}(\gamma^{pq})^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_A^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{4}\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}(\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}\bar{q}})^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}.$$

- **Further, the master derivative is compatible with the whole NS-NS sector,**

$$\mathcal{D}_A d = \nabla_A d := -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A V_{Bp} = \partial_A V_{Bp} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C V_{Cp} + \Phi_{Ap}{}^q V_{Bq} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = \partial_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C \bar{V}_{C\bar{p}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}}{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} = 0.$$

- It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_A P_{BC} = \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_A \bar{P}_{BC} = \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} = 0,$$

and the connections are related to each other,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = V_B{}^p D_A V_{Cp} + \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{p}} D_A \bar{V}_{C\bar{p}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = V^B{}_{\rho} \nabla_A V_{Bq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \nabla_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}}.$$

- **Further, the master derivative is compatible with the whole NS-NS sector,**

$$\mathcal{D}_A d = \nabla_A d := -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A V_{Bp} = \partial_A V_{Bp} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C V_{Cp} + \Phi_{Ap}{}^q V_{Bq} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = \partial_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C \bar{V}_{C\bar{p}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}}{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} = 0.$$

- It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_A P_{BC} = \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_A \bar{P}_{BC} = \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} = 0,$$

and the connections are related to each other,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = V_B{}^p D_A V_{Cp} + \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{p}} D_A \bar{V}_{C\bar{p}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = V^B{}_{\rho} \nabla_A V_{Bq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \nabla_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}}.$$

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{CAB}^0 = & 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ & - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}), \end{aligned}$$

and, with the corresponding derivative, $\nabla_A^0 = \partial_A + \Gamma_A^0$,

$$\Phi_{Apq}^0 = V^B{}_\rho \nabla_A^0 V_{Bq} = V^B{}_\rho \partial_A V_{Bq} + \Gamma_{ABC}^0 V^B{}_\rho V^C{}_q,$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \nabla_A^0 \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \partial_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} + \Gamma_{ABC}^0 \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}^C{}_{\bar{q}}.$$

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- Further, the extra pieces, Δ_{Apq} and $\bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, correspond to the **torsion** of SDFT, which must be covariant and, in order to maintain $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$, must satisfy

$$\Delta_{Apq} V^{Ap} = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}^{A\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Otherwise they are arbitrary.

- As in SUGRA, the torsion can be constructed from the bi-spinorial objects, e.g.

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma_{pq}\psi_A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_A\psi_{\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{\rho}\gamma_{Apq}\rho, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{Apq}\psi^{\bar{p}},$$

where we set $\psi_A = \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}$, $\gamma_A = V_A^p\gamma_p$.

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- Further, the extra pieces, Δ_{Apq} and $\bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, correspond to the **torsion** of SDFT, which must be covariant and, in order to maintain $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$, must satisfy

$$\Delta_{Apq} V^{Ap} = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}^{A\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Otherwise they are arbitrary.

- As in SUGRA, the torsion can be constructed from the bi-spinorial objects, e.g.

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma_{pq}\psi_A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_A\psi_{\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{\rho}\gamma_{Apq}\rho, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{Apq}\psi^{\bar{p}},$$

where we set $\psi_A = \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}$, $\gamma_A = V_A^p\gamma_p$.

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- Further, the extra pieces, Δ_{Apq} and $\bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, correspond to the **torsion** of SDFT, which must be covariant and, in order to maintain $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$, must satisfy

$$\Delta_{Apq} V^{Ap} = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}^{A\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Otherwise they are arbitrary.

- As in SUGRA, the torsion can be constructed from the bi-spinorial objects, e.g.

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma_{pq}\psi_A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_A\psi_{\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{\rho}\gamma_{Apq}\rho, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{Apq}\psi^{\bar{p}},$$

where we set $\psi_A = \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}$, $\gamma_A = V_A^p\gamma_p$.

- The ‘torsionless’ connection,

$$\Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}) ,$$

further obeys

$$\Gamma_{ABC}^0 + \Gamma_{BCA}^0 + \Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 0 ,$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF}^0 = 0 , \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF}^0 = 0 .$$

- In fact, the torsionless connection,

$$\Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}) ,$$

is uniquely determined by requiring

$$\nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0 \iff \Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{CBA} = 0 ,$$

$$\nabla_A P_{BC} = 0 ,$$

$$\nabla_A d = 0 ,$$

$$\Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{BCA} = 0 ,$$

$$(P + \bar{P})_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} = 0 .$$

- Having the two symmetric properties, $\Gamma_{A(BC)} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[ABC]} = 0$, we may safely replace ∂_A by $\nabla_A^0 = \partial_A + \Gamma_A^0$ in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X$ and also in $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} = X^B \nabla_B^0 T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \nabla_B^0 X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{A_i}^0 X_B - \nabla_B^0 X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A = X^B \nabla_B^0 Y^A - Y^B \nabla_B^0 X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \nabla^{0A} X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \nabla^{0A} Y_B,$$

just like in Riemannian geometry.

- In this way, Γ_{ABC}^0 is the **DFT analogy of the Christoffel connection**.

- Precisely the same expression was later re-derived by **Hohm & Zwiebach**.

- Having the two symmetric properties, $\Gamma_{A(BC)} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[ABC]} = 0$, we may safely replace ∂_A by $\nabla_A^0 = \partial_A + \Gamma_A^0$ in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X$ and also in $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} = X^B \nabla_B^0 T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \nabla_B^0 X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{A_i}^0 X_B - \nabla_B^0 X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A = X^B \nabla_B^0 Y^A - Y^B \nabla_B^0 X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \nabla^{0A} X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \nabla^{0A} Y_B,$$

just like in Riemannian geometry.

- In this way, Γ_{ABC}^0 is the **DFT analogy of the Christoffel connection**.

- Precisely the same expression was later re-derived by **Hohm & Zwiebach**.

- Having the two symmetric properties, $\Gamma_{A(BC)} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[ABC]} = 0$, we may safely replace ∂_A by $\nabla_A^0 = \partial_A + \Gamma_A^0$ in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X$ and also in $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} = X^B \nabla_B^0 T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \nabla_B^0 X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{A_i}^0 X_B - \nabla_B^0 X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A = X^B \nabla_B^0 Y^A - Y^B \nabla_B^0 X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \nabla^{0A} X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \nabla^{0A} Y_B,$$

just like in Riemannian geometry.

- In this way, Γ_{ABC}^0 is the **DFT analogy of the Christoffel connection**.
- Precisely the same expression was later re-derived by **Hohm & Zwiebach**.

- The usual curvatures for the three connections,

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}{}^E \Gamma_{AED},$$

$$F_{AB\rho q} = \partial_A \Phi_{B\rho q} - \partial_B \Phi_{A\rho q} + \Phi_{A\rho r} \Phi_B{}^r{}_q - \Phi_{B\rho r} \Phi_A{}^r{}_q,$$

$$\bar{F}_{AB\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} = \partial_A \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} - \partial_B \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_B{}^{\bar{r}}{}_{\bar{q}} - \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{\rho}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_A{}^{\bar{r}}{}_{\bar{q}},$$

are, from $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]V_{C\rho} = 0$ and $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]\bar{V}_{C\bar{\rho}} = 0$, related to each other,

$$R_{ABCD} = F_{CD\rho q} V_A{}^\rho V_B{}^q + \bar{F}_{CD\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{q}}.$$

- However, the crucial object in DFT turns out to be

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma^E{}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} \right),$$

which we name **semi-covariant curvature**.

- The usual curvatures for the three connections,

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}{}^E \Gamma_{AED},$$

$$F_{AB\rho q} = \partial_A \Phi_{B\rho q} - \partial_B \Phi_{A\rho q} + \Phi_{A\rho r} \Phi_B{}^r{}_q - \Phi_{B\rho r} \Phi_A{}^r{}_q,$$

$$\bar{F}_{AB\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} = \partial_A \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} - \partial_B \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_B{}^{\bar{r}}{}_{\bar{q}} - \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{\rho}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_A{}^{\bar{r}}{}_{\bar{q}},$$

are, from $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]V_{C\rho} = 0$ and $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]\bar{V}_{C\bar{\rho}} = 0$, related to each other,

$$R_{ABCD} = F_{CD\rho q} V_A{}^\rho V_B{}^q + \bar{F}_{CD\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{q}}.$$

- However, the crucial object in DFT turns out to be

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma_{AB}{}^E \Gamma_{ECD} \right),$$

which we name **semi-covariant curvature**.

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Precisely the same symmetric property as the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$
$$S^0_{[ABC]D} = 0.$$

- Projection property,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0.$$

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[ABE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[CDE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{AB},$$

$$\delta S^0_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma^0_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma^0_{D]AB}.$$

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Precisely the same symmetric property as the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S^0_{[ABC]D} = 0.$$

- Projection property,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0.$$

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[ABE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[CDE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{AB},$$

$$\delta S^0_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma^0_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma^0_{D]AB}.$$

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Precisely the same symmetric property as the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S^0_{[ABC]D} = 0.$$

- Projection property,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0.$$

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[ABE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[CDE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{AB},$$

$$\delta S^0_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma^0_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma^0_{D]AB}.$$

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Precisely the same symmetric property as the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S^0_{[ABC]D} = 0.$$

- Projection property,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0.$$

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[ABE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[CDE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{AB},$$

$$\delta S^0_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma^0_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma^0_{D]AB}.$$

'Semi-covariance'

- Generically, under $\delta_X P_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X P_{AB}$, $\delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$ (DFT-diffeomorphism), the variation of $\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}$ contains an anomalous non-covariant part,

$$\delta_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) + \sum_i 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, it is not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

- However, the characteristic property of our 'semi-covariant' derivative is that, **combined with the projectors it can generate various fully covariant quantities**, as listed below.

'Semi-covariance'

- Generically, under $\delta_X P_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X P_{AB}$, $\delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$ (DFT-diffeomorphism), the variation of $\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}$ contains an anomalous non-covariant part,

$$\delta_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) + \sum_i 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, it is not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

- However, the characteristic property of our 'semi-covariant' derivative is that, **combined with the projectors it can generate various fully covariant quantities**, as listed below.

- Generically, under $\delta_X P_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X P_{AB}$, $\delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$ (DFT-diffeomorphism), the variation of $\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}$ contains an anomalous non-covariant part,

$$\delta_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) + \sum_i 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, it is not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

- However, the characteristic property of our 'semi-covariant' derivative is that, **combined with the projectors it can generate various fully covariant quantities**, as listed below.

- For $O(D, D)$ tensors:

$$P_C{}^D \bar{P}_{A_1}{}^{B_1} \bar{P}_{A_2}{}^{B_2} \dots \bar{P}_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n},$$

$$\bar{P}_C{}^D P_{A_1}{}^{B_1} P_{A_2}{}^{B_2} \dots P_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n},$$

$$P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \bar{P}_{C_2}{}^{D_2} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{B D_1 D_2 \dots D_n},$$

$$\bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}{}^{D_1} P_{C_2}{}^{D_2} \dots P_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{B D_1 D_2 \dots D_n}$$

} Divergences ,

$$P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \bar{P}_{C_2}{}^{D_2} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 D_2 \dots D_n},$$

$$\bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}{}^{D_1} P_{C_2}{}^{D_2} \dots P_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 D_2 \dots D_n}$$

} Laplacians .

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ tensors:

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho T_{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 \dots \bar{q}_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} T_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\rho T_{\rho \bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 \dots \bar{q}_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{\bar{\rho}} T_{\bar{\rho} q_1 q_2 \dots q_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho \mathcal{D}^\rho T_{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 \dots \bar{q}_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}^{\bar{\rho}} T_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n},$$

where we set

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho := V^A{}_\rho \mathcal{D}_A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} := \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_A.$$

These are the [pull-back](#) of the previous results using the DFT-vielbeins.

- Dirac operators for fermions, $\rho^\alpha, \psi_{\bar{\rho}}^\alpha, \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}, \psi_{\rho'}^{\bar{\alpha}}$:

$$\gamma^\rho \mathcal{D}_\rho \rho = \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \rho, \quad \gamma^\rho \mathcal{D}_\rho \psi_{\bar{\rho}} = \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{\rho}},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi^{\bar{\rho}} = \mathcal{D}_A \psi^A,$$

$$\bar{\psi}^A \gamma_\rho (\mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}} \psi_A),$$

$$\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho' = \bar{\gamma}^A \mathcal{D}_A \rho', \quad \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_\rho = \bar{\gamma}^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi'_\rho,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho \rho', \quad \mathcal{D}_\rho \psi'^\rho = \mathcal{D}_A \psi'^A,$$

$$\bar{\psi}'^A \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} (\mathcal{D}_A \psi'_{\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}} \psi'_A).$$

Incorporation of fermions into DFT 1109.2035

Projector-aided, fully covariant derivatives

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ bi-fundamental spinorial fields, $T^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ T := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A T + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A T \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- T := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A T - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A T \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**

$$(\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 T \equiv 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_-^0)^2 T \equiv 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathcal{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C}.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 \Delta \quad \implies \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 (\delta \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \Delta \equiv 0.$$

Projector-aided, fully covariant derivatives

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ bi-fundamental spinorial fields, $T^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ T := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A T + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A T \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- T := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A T - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A T \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**

$$(\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 T \equiv 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_-^0)^2 T \equiv 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C}.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 \Delta \quad \implies \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 (\delta \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \Delta \equiv 0.$$

Projector-aided, fully covariant derivatives

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ bi-fundamental spinorial fields, $T^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ T := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A T + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A T \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- T := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A T - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A T \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**

$$(\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 T \equiv 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_-^0)^2 T \equiv 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathcal{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C}.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 \Delta \quad \implies \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 (\delta \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \Delta \equiv 0.$$

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ bi-fundamental spinorial fields, $T^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ T := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A T + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A T \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- T := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A T - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A T \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**

$$(\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 T \equiv 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_-^0)^2 T \equiv 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathcal{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C}.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 \Delta \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 (\delta \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \Delta \equiv 0.$$

- **Scalar curvature:**

$$(P^{AB}P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB}\bar{P}^{CD})S_{ACBD}.$$

- **“Ricci” curvature:**

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{2}D_{\bar{r}}\bar{\Delta}_{p\bar{q}}^{\bar{r}} + \frac{1}{2}D_r\Delta_{\bar{q}p}^r,$$

where we set

$$S_{p\bar{q}} := V^A{}_p\bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{q}}S_{AB}, \quad S_{AB} = S_{ACB}{}^C.$$

- **Scalar curvature:**

$$(P^{AB}P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB}\bar{P}^{CD})S_{ACBD}.$$

- **“Ricci” curvature:**

$$S_{\rho\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{r}}\bar{\Delta}_{\rho\bar{q}}^{\bar{r}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_r\Delta_{\bar{q}\rho}^r,$$

where we set

$$S_{\rho\bar{q}} := V^A{}_{\rho}\bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{q}}S_{AB}, \quad S_{AB} = S_{ACB}{}^C.$$

Combining all the results above, we are now ready to spell

- Type II i.e. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ Supersymmetric Double Field Theory

- **Lagrangian :**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_{\bar{q}} \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^{\bar{q}} \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right].$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\alpha}$ denotes the charge conjugation, $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := \bar{C}_+^{-1} \mathcal{F}^T C_+$.

- As they are contracted with the DFT-vielbeins properly,
every term in the Lagrangian is fully covariant.

- **Lagrangian :**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_{\bar{q}} \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^{\bar{q}} \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right].$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\alpha}$ denotes the charge conjugation, $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := \bar{C}_+^{-1} \mathcal{F}^T C_+$.

- As they are contracted with the DFT-vielbeins properly,
every term in the Lagrangian is fully covariant.

- **Lagrangian :**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^{\mathcal{Q}} \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}_{\rho'}^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\mathcal{P}} \right].$$

- **Torsions:** The semi-covariant curvature, S_{ABCD} , is given by the connection,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = \Gamma_{ABC}^0 + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho - 2i \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} + 4i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{A\psi} \psi_C \\ + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' - 2i \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_{\mathcal{P}} + 4i \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \bar{\gamma}_{A\psi'} \psi'_C,$$

which corresponds to the solution for **1.5 formalism**.

The master derivatives in the fermionic kinetic terms are twofold:

\mathcal{D}_A^* for the unprimed fermions and \mathcal{D}'_A^* for the primed fermions, set by

$$\Gamma_{ABC}^* = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} - 2i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{A\psi} \psi_C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A, \\ \Gamma_{ABC}'^* = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_{\mathcal{P}} - 2i \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \bar{\gamma}_{A\psi'} \psi'_C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A.$$

- **Lagrangian :**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^{\mathcal{Q}} \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\mathcal{P}} \right].$$

- **Torsions:** The semi-covariant curvature, S_{ABCD} , is given by the connection,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = \Gamma_{ABC}^0 + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho - 2i \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} + 4i \bar{\psi}^{\mathcal{B}} \gamma_A \psi_{\mathcal{C}} \\ + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' - 2i \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_{\mathcal{P}} + 4i \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{B}} \bar{\gamma}_A \psi'_{\mathcal{C}},$$

which corresponds to the solution for **1.5 formalism**.

The master derivatives in the fermionic kinetic terms are twofold:

\mathcal{D}_A^* for the unprimed fermions and \mathcal{D}'_A for the primed fermions, set by

$$\Gamma_{ABC}^* = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} - 2i \bar{\psi}^{\mathcal{B}} \gamma_A \psi_{\mathcal{C}} + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A,$$

$$\Gamma_{ABC}' = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{P}} \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_{\mathcal{P}} - 2i \bar{\psi}'^{\mathcal{B}} \bar{\gamma}_A \psi'_{\mathcal{C}} + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A.$$

- The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry transformation rules are

$$\delta_\varepsilon d = -i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\varepsilon}\rho + \bar{\varepsilon}'\rho'),$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} = i\bar{V}_A^{\bar{q}}(\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}}\psi'_p - \bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_p\psi_{\bar{q}}),$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = iV_A^q(\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_q\psi_{\bar{p}} - \bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}}\psi'_q),$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon C = i\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^p\varepsilon\bar{\psi}'_p - \varepsilon\bar{\rho}' - \psi_{\bar{p}}\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} + \rho\bar{\varepsilon}') + C\delta_\varepsilon d - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{V}_A^{\bar{q}}\delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap})\gamma^{(d+1)}\gamma^p C\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \rho = -\gamma^p\hat{D}_p\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{2}\gamma^p\varepsilon\bar{\psi}'_p - i\gamma^p\psi_{\bar{q}}\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}}\psi'_p,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \rho' = -\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\hat{D}'_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon' + i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\varepsilon'\bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} - i\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\psi'_p\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^p\psi_{\bar{q}},$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \psi_{\bar{p}} = \hat{D}_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon + (\mathcal{F} - i\frac{1}{2}\gamma^q\rho\bar{\psi}'_q + i\frac{1}{2}\psi_{\bar{q}}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}})\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon' + i\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon\bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\rho + i\frac{1}{2}\psi_{\bar{p}}\bar{\varepsilon}\rho,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \psi'_p = \hat{D}'_p\varepsilon' + (\bar{\mathcal{F}} - i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\rho'\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} + i\frac{1}{2}\psi'_{\bar{q}}\bar{\rho}\gamma_{\bar{q}})\gamma_p\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon'\bar{\psi}'_p\rho' + i\frac{1}{2}\psi'_p\bar{\varepsilon}'\rho',$$

where

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{ABC} = \Gamma_{ABC} - i\frac{17}{48}\bar{\rho}\gamma_{ABC}\rho + i\frac{5}{2}\bar{\rho}\gamma_{BC}\psi_A + i\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}}\gamma_{ABC}\psi_{\bar{p}} - 3i\bar{\psi}'_B\bar{\gamma}_A\psi'_C,$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}'_{ABC} = \Gamma_{ABC} - i\frac{17}{48}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{ABC}\rho' + i\frac{5}{2}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{BC}\psi'_A + i\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}}\bar{\gamma}_{ABC}\psi'_{\bar{p}} - 3i\bar{\psi}'_B\bar{\gamma}_A\psi'_C.$$

- **Lagrangian :**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}'_{\bar{p}}{}^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}'_{\bar{p}}{}^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}'_{\bar{q}}{}^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right].$$

- The Lagrangian is **pseudo** : It is necessary to impose a **self-duality** of the R-R field strength by hand,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^p \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_p \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right) \equiv 0.$$

- **Lagrangian** :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}'_{\bar{p}}{}^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}'_{\bar{p}}{}^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}'_{\bar{q}}{}^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right].$$

- The Lagrangian is **pseudo** : It is necessary to impose a **self-duality** of the R-R field strength by hand,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^p \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_p \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right) \equiv 0.$$

- Under the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY transformation rule, the Lagrangian transforms, disregarding total derivatives, as

$$\delta_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} \simeq -\frac{1}{8} e^{-2d} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} \delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma^\rho \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_-} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \vec{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\rho \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right).$$

This verifies, to the full order in fermions, **the supersymmetric invariance of the action, modulo the self-duality.**

- For a **nontrivial consistency check**, the supersymmetric variation of the self-duality relation is precisely closed by the equations of motion for the gravitinos,

$$\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- = -i \left(\tilde{D}_{\bar{p}} \rho + \gamma^\rho \tilde{D}_\rho \psi_{\bar{p}} - \gamma^\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}} \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right) \bar{\varepsilon}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} - i \gamma^\rho \varepsilon \left(\tilde{D}'_{\rho} \vec{\rho}' + \tilde{D}'_{\bar{p}} \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} - \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_{\rho} \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \right).$$

- Under the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY transformation rule, the Lagrangian transforms, disregarding total derivatives, as

$$\delta_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} \simeq -\frac{1}{8} e^{-2d} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} \delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma^\rho \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_-} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\rho \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right).$$

This verifies, to the full order in fermions, **the supersymmetric invariance of the action, modulo the self-duality.**

- For a **nontrivial consistency check**, the supersymmetric variation of the self-duality relation is precisely closed by the equations of motion for the gravitinos,

$$\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- = -i \left(\tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}\rho} + \gamma^\rho \tilde{D}_\rho \psi_{\bar{p}} - \gamma^\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}} \psi'_{\rho} \right) \bar{\varepsilon}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} - i \gamma^\rho \varepsilon \left(\tilde{D}'_{\rho\bar{\rho}'} + \tilde{D}'_{\bar{p}} \bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} - \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_{\rho} \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \right).$$

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_p \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho}\gamma_p \bar{D}_{\bar{q}}\rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \bar{D}_{\rho}\rho - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}}\gamma_p \bar{D}_{\bar{q}}\psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{D}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{D}_{\bar{q}}\rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{D}_{\rho}\psi'_{\rho} = 0.$$

This is DFT-generalization of Einstein equation.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!

- R-R potential:

$$D_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = 0,$$

which is automatically met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of D_+^0 ,

$$D_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = D_-^0 \left(\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F} \right) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} D_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (D_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The 1.5 formalism works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta\Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho}\gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}}\gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho}\psi'_q = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!**

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F} \right) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The **1.5 formalism** works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta\Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho}\gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}}\gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho}\psi'_q = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!**

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F} \right) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The **1.5 formalism** works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta\Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho}\gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho}\psi'_q = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!**

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F} \right) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The **1.5 formalism** works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta\Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho}\gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}}\rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho}\psi'_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!**

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F} \right) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The **1.5 formalism** works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta\Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

- DFT-dilatonos,

$$\gamma^{\rho} \tilde{D}_{\rho} \rho - \tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi^{\bar{\rho}} - \mathcal{F}_{\rho'} = 0, \quad \tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho' - \tilde{D}_{\rho} \psi'^{\rho} - \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\rho} = 0.$$

- Gravitinos,

$$\tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho + \gamma^{\rho} \tilde{D}_{\rho} \psi_{\bar{\rho}} - \gamma^{\rho} \mathcal{F} \tilde{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} = 0, \quad \tilde{D}_{\rho} \rho' + \tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} - \tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\mathcal{F}} \gamma_{\rho} \psi_{\bar{\rho}} = 0.$$

Equations of Motion for Fermions

- DFT-dilatonos,

$$\gamma^{\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \rho - \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi^{\bar{\rho}} - \mathcal{F} \rho' = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho' - \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'^{\rho} - \bar{\mathcal{F}} \rho = 0.$$

- Gravitinos,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho + \gamma^{\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi_{\bar{\rho}} - \gamma^{\rho} \mathcal{F} \tilde{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \rho' + \tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} - \tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\mathcal{F}} \gamma_{\rho} \psi_{\bar{\rho}} = 0.$$

- Turning off the primed fermions and the R-R sector truncates the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $D = 10$ SFT,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}=1} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^A \mathcal{D}_A^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^B \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A^* \psi_B \right].$$

- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Local SUSY:

$$\delta_\varepsilon d = -i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon} \rho,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} = -i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_p \psi_A,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_A \psi_{\bar{p}},$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \rho = -\gamma^A \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A \varepsilon,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \psi_{\bar{p}} = \bar{V}_{\bar{p}}^A \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A \varepsilon - i \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\rho} \psi_{\bar{p}}) \varepsilon + i \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\varepsilon} \rho) \psi_{\bar{p}}.$$

- Commutator of supersymmetry reads

$$[\delta_{\varepsilon_1}, \delta_{\varepsilon_2}] \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{X_3} + \delta_{\varepsilon_3} + \delta_{\mathbf{so}(1,9)_L} + \delta_{\mathbf{so}(9,1)_R} + \delta_{\text{trivial}} .$$

where

$$X_3^A = i\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \gamma^A \varepsilon_2, \quad \varepsilon_3 = i\frac{1}{2} [(\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon_2) \gamma_{\rho\rho} + (\bar{\rho}\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1 - (\bar{\rho}\varepsilon_1)\varepsilon_2], \quad \text{etc.}$$

and δ_{trivial} corresponds to the fermionic equations of motion.

Now we are going to

- parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,
- discuss the ‘unification’,
- choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
- and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.

Now we are going to

- **parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,**
- discuss the ‘unification’,
- choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
- and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.

Now we are going to

- parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,
- discuss the ‘unification’,
- choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
- and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.

Now we are going to

- parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,
- discuss the ‘unification’,
- choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
- and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.

Now we are going to

- parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,
- discuss the ‘unification’,
- choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
- and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- As stressed before, one of the characteristic features in our construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is the usage of the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, genuine DFT-field-variables.
- However, the relation to an ordinary supergravity can be established only after we solve the defining algebraic relations of the DFT-vielbeins and parametrize the solution in terms of Riemannian variables, *i.e.* zehnbeins and B -field.
- Assuming that the upper half blocks are non-degenerate, the DFT-vielbein takes the most general form,

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $e_\mu{}^p$ and $\bar{e}_\nu{}^{\bar{p}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_\mu{}^p e_\nu{}^q \eta_{pq} = -\bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}} \bar{e}_\nu{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = g_{\mu\nu},$$

and further, $B_{\mu p} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_p{}^\nu$, $B_{\mu \bar{p}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\nu$.

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- As stressed before, one of the characteristic features in our construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is the usage of the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, genuine DFT-field-variables.
- However, the relation to an ordinary supergravity can be established only after we solve the defining algebraic relations of the DFT-vielbeins and parametrize the solution in terms of Riemannian variables, *i.e.* zehnbeins and \mathbf{B} -field.
- Assuming that the upper half blocks are non-degenerate, the DFT-vielbein takes the most general form,

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $e_\mu{}^p$ and $\bar{e}_\nu{}^{\bar{p}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_\mu{}^p e_\nu{}^q \eta_{pq} = -\bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}} \bar{e}_\nu{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = g_{\mu\nu},$$

and further, $B_{\mu p} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_p{}^\nu$, $B_{\mu \bar{p}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\nu$.

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- As stressed before, one of the characteristic features in our construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 10$ SDFT is the usage of the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, genuine DFT-field-variables.
- However, the relation to an ordinary supergravity can be established only after we solve the defining algebraic relations of the DFT-vielbeins and parametrize the solution in terms of Riemannian variables, *i.e.* zehnbeins and \mathbf{B} -field.
- Assuming that the upper half blocks are non-degenerate, the DFT-vielbein takes the most general form,

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{p\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here e_{μ}^p and $\bar{e}_{\nu}^{\bar{p}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_{\mu}^p e_{\nu}^q \eta_{pq} = -\bar{e}_{\mu}^{\bar{p}} \bar{e}_{\nu}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = g_{\mu\nu},$$

and further, $B_{\mu p} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_p{}^{\nu}$, $B_{\mu \bar{p}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^{\nu}$.

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- In stead, we may choose an $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ equivalent – alternative parametrization,

$$V_A{}^P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{\mathbf{e}})^{\mu P} \\ (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{-1})^{\rho \nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{P}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})^{\mu P} \\ (\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})^{\rho \nu} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta^{\mu P} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{-1})^{\rho \nu}$, $\beta^{\mu \bar{P}} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})^{\rho \nu}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}}$ correspond to a pair of T-dual vielbeins for winding modes,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\mu{}_\rho \tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\nu{}_{q\eta}{}^{\rho q} = -\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\nu{}_{\bar{q}\eta}{}^{\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} = (g - Bg^{-1}B)^{-1 \mu \nu}.$$

- Note that in the T-dual winding mode sector, the D -dimensional curved spacetime indices are all upside-down: $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\mu$, $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}}$, $\beta^{\mu\nu}$ (cf. x^μ , $e_\mu{}^\rho$, $\bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{\rho}}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$).

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- In stead, we may choose an $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ equivalent – alternative parametrization,

$$V_A{}^P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{\mathbf{e}})^{\mu P} \\ (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{-1})^{\rho \nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{P}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})^{\mu P} \\ (\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})^{\rho \nu} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta^{\mu P} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{-1})^{\rho \nu}$, $\beta^{\mu \bar{P}} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})^{\rho \nu}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}}$ correspond to a pair of T-dual vielbeins for winding modes,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\mu{}_\rho \tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\nu{}_{\bar{q}} \eta^{\rho \bar{q}} = -\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\nu{}_{\bar{q}} \eta^{\bar{\rho} \bar{q}} = (g - Bg^{-1}B)^{-1 \mu \nu}.$$

- Note that in the T-dual winding mode sector, the D -dimensional curved spacetime indices are all upside-down: $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_\mu$, $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}}$, $\beta^{\mu\nu}$ (cf. x^μ , $e_\mu{}^\rho$, $\bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{\rho}}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$).

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{p\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu\bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- In connection to the section condition, $\partial^A \partial_A \equiv 0$, the former matches well with the choice, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}_\mu} \equiv 0$, while the latter is natural when $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv 0$.
- Yet if we consider dimensional reductions from D to lower dimensions, there is no longer preferred parametrization \implies “Non-geometry”

c.f. Andriot et al. (München)

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{p\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu\bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- In connection to the section condition, $\partial^A \partial_A \equiv 0$, the former matches well with the choice, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0$, while the latter is natural when $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv 0$.
- Yet if we consider dimensional reductions from D to lower dimensions, there is no longer preferred parametrization \implies “Non-geometry”
c.f. Andriot et al. (München)

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{p\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu\bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu\bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- In connection to the section condition, $\partial^A \partial_A \equiv 0$, the former matches well with the choice, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0$, while the latter is natural when $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv 0$.
- Yet if we consider dimensional reductions from D to lower dimensions, there is no longer preferred parametrization \implies “Non-geometry”

c.f. Andriot et al. (München)

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu\bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- However, we emphasize that **to maintain the clear $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant structure**, it is necessary to work with the parametrization-independent, and $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, DFT-vielbeins, V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, rather than the Riemannian variables, $e_\mu{}^p$, $B_{\mu\nu}$.
- Further, 'degenerate' cases are also allowed which lead to genuinely non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds. [1307.8377](#)

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- However, we emphasize that **to maintain the clear $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant structure**, it is necessary to work with the parametrization-independent, and $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, DFT-vielbeins, V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, rather than the Riemannian variables, $e_\mu{}^p$, $B_{\mu\nu}$.
- Further, 'degenerate' cases are also allowed which lead to genuinely non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds. [1307.8377](#)

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- However, we emphasize that **to maintain the clear $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant structure**, it is necessary to work with the parametrization-independent, and $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, DFT-vielbeins, V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, rather than the Riemannian variables, $e_\mu{}^p$, $B_{\mu\nu}$.
- Further, 'degenerate' cases are also allowed which lead to genuinely non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds. [1307.8377](#)

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us take the former parametrization and impose $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \equiv 0$.

- This reduces (S)DFT to generalized geometry

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathcal{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A_{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_A \rho \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial^{\bar{p}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}^{\bar{p}}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2\partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us take the former parametrization and impose $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \equiv 0$.

- This reduces (S)DFT to generalized geometry

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathcal{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A_{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_A \rho \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial^{\bar{p}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}^{\bar{p}}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2\partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us take the former parametrization and impose $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \equiv 0$.

- This reduces (S)DFT to generalized geometry

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A_{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_A \rho \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2} \mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2 \partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us take the former parametrization and impose $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \equiv 0$.

- This reduces (S)DFT to generalized geometry

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A_{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial^{\bar{p}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}^{\bar{p}}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2\partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- Since the two zweibeins correspond to the same spacetime metric, they are related by a Lorentz rotation,

$$(e^{-1}\bar{e})_{\rho}{}^{\bar{p}}(e^{-1}\bar{e})_q{}^{\bar{q}}\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\eta_{pq}.$$

- Further, there is a spinorial representation of this Lorentz rotation,

$$S_e\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}S_e^{-1} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^{\rho}(e^{-1}\bar{e})_{\rho}{}^{\bar{p}},$$

such that

$$S_e\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}S_e^{-1} = -\det(e^{-1}\bar{e})\gamma^{(D+1)}.$$

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- Since the two zweibeins correspond to the same spacetime metric, they are related by a Lorentz rotation,

$$(e^{-1}\bar{e})_{\rho}{}^{\bar{p}}(e^{-1}\bar{e})_q{}^{\bar{q}}\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\eta_{pq}.$$

- Further, there is a spinorial representation of this Lorentz rotation,

$$S_e\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}S_e^{-1} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^p(e^{-1}\bar{e})_{\rho}{}^{\bar{p}},$$

such that

$$S_e\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}S_e^{-1} = -\det(e^{-1}\bar{e})\gamma^{(D+1)}.$$

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- All the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT solutions are then classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- This identification with the ordinary IIA/IIB SUGRAs can be established, if we 'fix' the two zehnbins equal to each other,

$$e_{\mu}{}^P \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{P}},$$

using a $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz rotation which may or may not flip the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality,

$$\mathbf{c}' \longrightarrow \det(e^{-1}\bar{e})\mathbf{c}'.$$

Namely, the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality changes iff $\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1$.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- All the $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 10$ SDFT solutions are then classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- This identification with the ordinary IIA/IIB SUGRAs can be established, if we 'fix' the two zehnbains equal to each other,

$$e_{\mu}{}^P \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{P}},$$

using a $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz rotation which may or may not flip the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality,

$$\mathbf{c}' \longrightarrow \det(e^{-1}\bar{e})\mathbf{c}'.$$

Namely, the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality changes iff $\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1$.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- All the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- All the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- All the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- All the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- All the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- Setting the **diagonal gauge**,

$$e_{\mu}{}^{\rho} \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{\rho}}$$

with $\eta_{\rho q} = -\bar{\eta}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{q}}$, $\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^{\rho}$, $\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = -\gamma^{(D+1)}$, breaks the local Lorentz symmetry,

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

- And it reduces SDFT to SUGRA:

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT \implies 10D Type II democratic SUGRA

Bergshoeff, *et al.*; Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ $D = 10$ SDFT \implies 10D minimal SUGRA Chamseddine; Bergshoeff *et al.*

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- Setting the **diagonal gauge**,

$$e_{\mu}{}^{\rho} \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{\rho}}$$

with $\eta_{\rho q} = -\bar{\eta}_{\bar{\rho}\bar{q}}$, $\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^{\rho}$, $\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = -\gamma^{(D+1)}$, breaks the local Lorentz symmetry,

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

- And it reduces SDFT to SUGRA:

$$\mathcal{N} = 2 \quad D = 10 \quad \mathbf{SDFT} \implies 10D \quad \mathbf{Type II democratic SUGRA}$$

Bergshoeff, *et al.*; Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram

$$\mathcal{N} = 1 \quad D = 10 \quad \mathbf{SDFT} \implies 10D \quad \mathbf{minimal SUGRA} \quad \text{Chamseddine; Bergshoeff *et al.*}$$

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- To the full order in fermions, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SDFT reduces to 10D minimal SUGRA:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{10D} = \det e \times e^{-2\phi} & \left[R + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right. \\ & + i2\sqrt{2}\bar{\rho}\gamma^m [\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{6}H)_{mnp}\gamma^{np}\rho] - i4\sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}^p [\partial_p \rho + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{2}H)_{pqr}\gamma^{qr}\rho] \\ & - i2\sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}^p \gamma^m [\partial_m \psi_p + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{6}H)\gamma^{np}\psi_p + \omega_{mpq}\psi^q - \frac{1}{2}H_{mpq}\psi^q] \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{24}(\bar{\psi}^q \gamma_{mnp}\psi_q)(\bar{\psi}^r \gamma^{mnp}\psi_r) - \frac{1}{48}(\bar{\psi}^q \gamma_{mnp}\psi_q)(\bar{\rho}\gamma^{mnp}\rho) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \phi = i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\varepsilon}(\rho + \gamma^a \psi_a), \quad \delta_\varepsilon e_\mu^a = i\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^a \psi_\mu, \quad \delta_\varepsilon B_{\mu\nu} = -2i\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\varepsilon \rho = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\gamma^a [\partial_a \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{6}H)_{abc}\gamma^{bc}\varepsilon - \partial_a \phi \varepsilon] \\ + i\frac{1}{48}(\bar{\psi}^d \gamma_{abc}\psi_d)\gamma^{abc}\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{192}(\bar{\rho}\gamma_{abc}\rho)\gamma^{abc}\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_{[a}\psi_{b]})\gamma^{ab}\rho, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\varepsilon \psi_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\partial_a \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{2}H)_{abc}\gamma^{bc}\varepsilon] \\ - i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}\varepsilon)\psi_a - i\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\rho}\psi_a)\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{8}(\bar{\rho}\gamma_{bc}\psi_a)\gamma^{bc}\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_{[b}\psi_{c]})\gamma^{bc}\psi_a. \end{aligned}$$

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- After the diagonal gauge fixing, we may parameterize the R-R potential as

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D+2}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{p!} C_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p}$$

and obtain the field strength,

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{(\rho+1)!} \mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\rho+1}} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\rho+1}}$$

where \sum'_p denotes the odd p sum for Type IIA and even p sum for Type IIB, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} = p \left(D_{[a_1} C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} - \partial_{[a_1} \phi C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} \right) + \frac{p!}{3!(p-3)!} H_{[a_1 a_2 a_3} C_{a_4 \dots a_p]}$$

- The pair of nilpotent differential operators, \mathcal{D}_+^0 and \mathcal{D}_-^0 , reduce to a ‘twisted K-theory’ exterior derivative and its dual, after the diagonal gauge fixing,

$$\mathcal{D}_+^0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d + (H - d\phi) \wedge$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad * [d + (H - d\phi) \wedge] *$$

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- After the diagonal gauge fixing, we may parameterize the R-R potential as

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D+2}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{p!} C_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p}$$

and obtain the field strength,

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{(\rho+1)!} \mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\rho+1}} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\rho+1}}$$

where \sum'_p denotes the odd p sum for Type IIA and even p sum for Type IIB, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} = p \left(D_{[a_1} C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} - \partial_{[a_1} \phi C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} \right) + \frac{p!}{3!(p-3)!} H_{[a_1 a_2 a_3} C_{a_4 \dots a_p]}$$

- The pair of nilpotent differential operators, \mathcal{D}_+^0 and \mathcal{D}_-^0 , reduce to a ‘twisted K-theory’ exterior derivative and its dual, after the diagonal gauge fixing,

$$\mathcal{D}_+^0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d + (H - d\phi) \wedge$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad * [d + (H - d\phi) \wedge] *$$

- In this way, **ordinary SUGRA** \equiv **gauge-fixed SDFT**,

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

Modifying $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule

- The diagonal gauge, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, is **incompatible** with the vectorial $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule of the DFT-vielbein.
- In order to preserve the diagonal gauge, it is necessary to modify the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule.

Modifying $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule

- The diagonal gauge, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, is **incompatible** with the vectorial $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule of the DFT-vielbein.
- In order to preserve the diagonal gauge, it is necessary to modify the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule.

Modifying $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule

- The $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation must accompany a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz rotation, $\bar{L}_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{p}}, S_{\bar{L}}^{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}}$:

$$\bar{L} = \bar{e}^{-1} [\mathbf{a}^t - (g + B)\mathbf{b}^t] [\mathbf{a}^t + (g - B)\mathbf{b}^t]^{-1} \bar{e}, \quad \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \bar{L}_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{p}} = S_{\bar{L}}^{-1} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} S_{\bar{L}},$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^t + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}^t = 0, \quad \mathbf{c}\mathbf{d}^t + \mathbf{d}\mathbf{c}^t = 0, \quad \mathbf{a}\mathbf{d}^t + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}^t = 1,$$

such that they parametrize a generic $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ group element,

$$M_A^B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}^{\mu \nu} & \mathbf{b}^{\mu \sigma} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\rho \nu} & \mathbf{d}_{\rho \sigma} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Modified $O(D, D)$ Transformation Rule After The Diagonal Gauge Fixing

d	\longrightarrow	d
$V_A{}^\rho$	\longrightarrow	$M_A{}^B V_B{}^\rho$
$\bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{\rho}}$	\longrightarrow	$M_A{}^B \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{L}_{\bar{q}}{}^{\bar{\rho}}$
$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \mathcal{F}^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$	\longrightarrow	$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\beta}} (S_{\bar{L}}^{-1})^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \mathcal{F}^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\beta}} (S_{\bar{L}}^{-1})^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$
ρ^α	\longrightarrow	ρ^α
$\rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$	\longrightarrow	$(S_{\bar{L}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} \rho'^{\bar{\beta}}$
$\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^\alpha$	\longrightarrow	$(\bar{L}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{q}}^\alpha$
$\psi'_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$	\longrightarrow	$(S_{\bar{L}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} \psi'_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{\beta}}$

- All the barred indices are now to be rotated. Consistent with Hassan
- The R-R sector can be also mapped to $O(D, D)$ spinors.

Fukuma, Oota Tanaka; Hohm, Kwak, Zwiebach

Flipping the chirality: IIA \Leftrightarrow IIB

- **If and only if $\det(\bar{L}) = -1$, the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation flips the chirality of the theory, since**

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} S_{\bar{L}} = \det(\bar{L}) S_{\bar{L}} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}.$$

- Thus, the mechanism above naturally realizes the exchange of Type IIA and IIB supergravities under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality.
- However, since \bar{L} explicitly depends on the parametrization of $V_{A\rho}$ and $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$ in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, it is impossible to impose the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule from the beginning on the parametrization-independent covariant formalism.

Flipping the chirality: IIA \Leftrightarrow IIB

- **If and only if $\det(\bar{L}) = -1$, the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation flips the chirality of the theory, since**

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} S_{\bar{L}} = \det(\bar{L}) S_{\bar{L}} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}.$$

- Thus, the mechanism above naturally realizes the exchange of Type IIA and IIB supergravities under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality.
- However, since \bar{L} explicitly depends on the parametrization of $V_{A\rho}$ and $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$ in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, it is impossible to impose the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule from the beginning on the parametrization-independent covariant formalism.

- **If and only if $\det(\bar{L}) = -1$, the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation flips the chirality of the theory, since**

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} S_{\bar{L}} = \det(\bar{L}) S_{\bar{L}} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}.$$

- Thus, the mechanism above naturally realizes the exchange of Type IIA and IIB supergravities under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality.
- However, since \bar{L} explicitly depends on the parametrization of $V_{A\rho}$ and $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$ in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, it is impossible to impose the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule from the beginning on the parametrization-independent covariant formalism.

- With the semi-covariant derivative, we may construct YM-DFT :

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} := \nabla_A \mathcal{V}_B - \nabla_B \mathcal{V}_A - i[\mathcal{V}_A, \mathcal{V}_B], \quad \mathcal{V}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\lambda \\ A_\mu + B_{\mu\nu} \phi^\nu \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{YM}} &= \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} \text{Tr} \left(P^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD} \mathcal{F}_{AC} \mathcal{F}_{BD} \right) \\ &\equiv \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \text{Tr} \left(f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\mu \phi^\nu + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\nu \phi^\mu + 2i f_{\mu\nu} [\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu][\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] + 2(f^{\mu\nu} + i[\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu]) H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma + H_{\mu\nu\sigma} H^{\mu\nu\tau} \phi^\sigma \phi^\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Similar to topologically twisted Yang-Mills, but differs in detail.
- Curved D -branes are known to convert adjoint scalars into one-form,

$$\phi^a \rightarrow \phi_\mu, \quad \text{Bershadsky}$$

- Action for 'double' D-brane Hull; Albertsson, Dai, Kao, Lin

- With the semi-covariant derivative, we may construct YM-DFT :

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} := \nabla_A \mathcal{V}_B - \nabla_B \mathcal{V}_A - i[\mathcal{V}_A, \mathcal{V}_B], \quad \mathcal{V}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\lambda \\ A_\mu + B_{\mu\nu} \phi^\nu \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{YM}} &= \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} \text{Tr} \left(P^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD} \mathcal{F}_{AC} \mathcal{F}_{BD} \right) \\ &\equiv \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \text{Tr} \left(f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\mu \phi^\nu + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\nu \phi^\mu + 2i f_{\mu\nu} [\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu][\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] + 2(f^{\mu\nu} + i[\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu]) H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma + H_{\mu\nu\sigma} H^{\mu\nu\tau} \phi^\sigma \phi^\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Similar to topologically twisted Yang-Mills, but differs in detail.
- Curved D -branes are known to convert adjoint scalars into one-form,

$$\phi^a \rightarrow \phi_\mu, \quad \text{Bershadsky}$$

- Action for 'double' D-brane Hull; Albertsson, Dai, Kao, Lin

- With DFT-vielbein, it is possible to construct a rank-four tensor which is covariant with respect to $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and ‘diagonal’ local Lorentz symmetry.
- Gauge fixing the two vielbeins equal to each other, $e_{\mu m} = \bar{e}_{\mu \bar{m}}$, gives

$$R_{mnpq} + D_{(p}H_{q)mn} - \frac{1}{4}H_{mn}{}^r H_{pqr} - \frac{3}{4}H_{m[n}{}^r H_{pq]r}.$$

- This may provide a useful tool to organize the higher order derivative corrections to the effective action. c.f. Hohm, Siegel, Zwiebach

- With DFT-vielbein, it is possible to construct a rank-four tensor which is covariant with respect to $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and ‘diagonal’ local Lorentz symmetry.
- Gauge fixing the two vielbeins equal to each other, $e_{\mu m} = \bar{e}_{\mu \bar{m}}$, gives

$$R_{mnpq} + D_{(p}H_{q)mn} - \frac{1}{4}H_{mn}{}^r H_{pqr} - \frac{3}{4}H_{m[n}{}^r H_{pq]r}.$$

- This may provide a useful tool to organize the higher order derivative corrections to the effective action. c.f. Hohm, Siegel, Zwiebach

- With DFT-vielbein, it is possible to construct a rank-four tensor which is covariant with respect to $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and ‘diagonal’ local Lorentz symmetry.
- Gauge fixing the two vielbeins equal to each other, $e_{\mu m} = \bar{e}_{\mu \bar{m}}$, gives

$$R_{mnpq} + D_{(p}H_{q)mn} - \frac{1}{4}H_{mn}{}^r H_{pqr} - \frac{3}{4}H_{m[n}{}^r H_{pq]r}.$$

- This may provide a useful tool to organize the higher order derivative corrections to the effective action. c.f. Hohm, Siegel, Zwiebach

- The section condition is equivalent to the coordinate gauge symmetry,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- String propagates in doubled yet gauged spacetime, 1307.8377

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphism, conformal symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphism and the coordinate gauge symmetry.
- Further, after parametrization it can produce either the standard string action for ‘non-degenerate’ cases or novel chiral actions for ‘degenerate’ cases.

- The section condition is equivalent to the coordinate gauge symmetry,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- String propagates in doubled yet gauged spacetime, 1307.8377**

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphism, conformal symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphism and the coordinate gauge symmetry.
- Further, after parametrization it can produce either the standard string action for ‘non-degenerate’ cases or novel chiral actions for ‘degenerate’ cases.

- The section condition is equivalent to the coordinate gauge symmetry,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- String propagates in doubled yet gauged spacetime, 1307.8377**

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphism, conformal symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphism and the coordinate gauge symmetry.
- Further, after parametrization it can produce either [the standard string action](#) for ‘non-degenerate’ cases or [novel chiral actions](#) for ‘degenerate’ cases.

- The section condition is equivalent to the coordinate gauge symmetry,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- String propagates in doubled yet gauged spacetime, 1307.8377**

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphism, conformal symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphism and the coordinate gauge symmetry.
- Further, after parametrization it can produce either [the standard string action](#) for ‘non-degenerate’ cases or [novel chiral actions](#) for ‘degenerate’ cases.

Conclusion

Summary

- The fundamental field-variables of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{Ap} V_B^p$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{p}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*
- While the theory is unique, the solutions are twofold \implies Unification of IIA and IIB.

Summary

- The **fundamental field-variables** of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, V_{Ap} , $\tilde{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{Ap} V_B^p$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \tilde{V}_{A\bar{p}} \tilde{V}_B^{\bar{p}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*
- While the theory is unique, the solutions are twofold \implies **Unification of IIA and IIB.**

Conclusion

Summary

- The **fundamental field-variables** of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, $V_{A\rho}$, $\tilde{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{A\rho} V_B^\rho$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \tilde{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \tilde{V}_B^{\bar{\rho}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*
- While the theory is unique, the solutions are twofold \implies **Unification of IIA and IIB.**

Summary

- The **fundamental field-variables** of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, $V_{A\rho}$, $\tilde{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{A\rho} V_B^\rho$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \tilde{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \tilde{V}_B^{\bar{\rho}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*
- While the theory is unique, the solutions are twofold \implies **Unification of IIA and IIB.**

Summary

- The **fundamental field-variables** of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, $V_{A\rho}$, $\tilde{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{A\rho} V_B^\rho$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \tilde{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \tilde{V}_B^{\bar{\rho}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*
- While the theory is unique, the solutions are twofold \implies **Unification of IIA and IIB.**

Conclusion

- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SDFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and all the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality singlet.
- Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The parametrization of the DFT variables is not unique:
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotations and field redefinitions can be freely applied.
 - In fact, non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds are also allowed:

$$P_{MN} - \bar{P}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N^2 = 1.$$

Conclusion

- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SDFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and all the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality singlet.
- Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The parametrization of the DFT variables is not unique:
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotations and field redefinitions can be freely applied.
 - In fact, non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds are also allowed:

$$P_{MN} - \bar{P}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N^2 = 1.$$

Conclusion

- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SDFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and all the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality singlet.
- Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The parametrization of the DFT variables is not unique:
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotations and field redefinitions can be freely applied.
 - In fact, non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds are also allowed:

$$P_{MN} - \bar{P}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N^2 = 1.$$

Conclusion

- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SDFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and all the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality singlet.
- Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The parametrization of the DFT variables is not unique:
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotations and field redefinitions can be freely applied.
 - In fact, non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds are also allowed:

$$P_{MN} - \bar{P}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N^2 = 1.$$

Conclusion

- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SDFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and all the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality singlet.
- Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The parametrization of the DFT variables is not unique:
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotations and field redefinitions can be freely applied.
 - In fact, non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds are also allowed:

$$P_{MN} - \bar{P}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N^2 = 1.$$

Conclusion

- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SDFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and all the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality singlet.
- Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.
- The parametrization of the DFT variables is not unique:
 - $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotations and field redefinitions can be freely applied.
 - In fact, **non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds are also allowed:**

$$P_{MN} - \bar{P}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N^2 = 1.$$

Outlook

- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible!
- Quantization of the string action on doubled yet gauged spacetime.
- Further study on the non-Riemannian, metric-less backgrounds.
- The relaxation of the section condition Geissbuhler; Grana, Marques, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality West; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele, Cook
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (semi-covariant); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson, Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible!
- Quantization of the string action on doubled yet gauged spacetime.
- Further study on the non-Riemannian, metric-less backgrounds.
- The relaxation of the section condition Geissbuhler; Grana, Marques, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality West; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele, Cook
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (semi-covariant); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson, Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible!
- Quantization of the string action on doubled yet gauged spacetime.
- Further study on the non-Riemannian, metric-less backgrounds.
- The relaxation of the section condition Geissbuhler; Grana, Marques, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality West; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele, Cook
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (semi-covariant); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson, Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible!
- Quantization of the string action on doubled yet gauged spacetime.
- Further study on the non-Riemannian, metric-less backgrounds.
- The relaxation of the section condition Geissbuhler; Grana, Marques, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality West; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele, Cook
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (semi-covariant); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson, Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible!
- Quantization of the string action on doubled yet gauged spacetime.
- Further study on the non-Riemannian, metric-less backgrounds.
- The relaxation of the section condition Geissbuhler; Grana, Marques, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality West; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele, Cook
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (semi-covariant); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson, Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible!
- Quantization of the string action on doubled yet gauged spacetime.
- Further study on the non-Riemannian, metric-less backgrounds.
- The relaxation of the section condition Geissbuhler; Grana, Marques, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality West; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele, Cook
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (semi-covariant); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson, Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible!
- Quantization of the string action on doubled yet gauged spacetime.
- Further study on the non-Riemannian, metric-less backgrounds.
- The relaxation of the section condition [Geissbuhler; Grana, Marques, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee](#)
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality [West; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele, Cook](#)
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions [Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh \(semi-covariant\); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson, Hohm-Samtleben](#)

Outlook

- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible!
- Quantization of the string action on doubled yet gauged spacetime.
- Further study on the non-Riemannian, metric-less backgrounds.
- The relaxation of the section condition [Geissbuhler; Grana, Marques, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee](#)
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality [West; Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele, Cook](#)
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions [Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh \(semi-covariant\); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson, Hohm-Samtleben](#)

Thank you.