

## String Theory 2007

### Tutorial Sheet 7

#### Worldvolume solitons

The following problems use the existing non-trivial background couplings in the D-brane worldvolume effective actions to argue the existence of non-trivial bound states in string theory.

**Problem 7.1** The Wess-Zumino piece of the D-brane effective action contains a term of the form :

$$\int_{D(p+2)} C_{p+1} \wedge \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus, magnetic flux on a D(p+2)-brane can induce Dp-brane charge, because it acts as a source for the corresponding  $C_{p+1}$  gauge field to which the Dp-brane couples minimally.

Let us consider a D0-D2 system, as a particular case of the general Dp-D(p+2) bound state. To describe this, take the 1+2 effective theory describing a single D2-brane in ten dimensional Minkowski spacetime and turn on some constant magnetic flux  $F = F_{12}$ . If the D2-brane is extended along the 12 directions in the bulk, show :

- i.  $-\det(\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{F}) = 1 + F^2$ , where  $\mathcal{G}$  stands for the induced worldvolume metric.
- ii. the kappa symmetry projector reduces to

$$\sqrt{1 + F^2} \varepsilon = (\Gamma_{012} + \Gamma_0 \Gamma_{11} F) \varepsilon,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a constant 32-component Majorana Killing spinor of the ten dimensional Minkowski spacetime.

- iii. the above equation can be solved by

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \tan \alpha, \\ \varepsilon &= (\cos \alpha \Gamma_{012} + \sin \alpha \Gamma_0 \Gamma_{11}) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Notice the projector involves the linear combination of two anticommuting products of gamma matrices, each of which would be describing the individual D-branes that formed the bound state.

**Problem 7.2** In the main lectures, we described a long fundamental string ending on a D-brane. The string was transverse to the brane, and this forced us to excite a transverse scalar field to describe such an excitation. Can we have fundamental strings in the D-brane directions ? If so, identify the bosonic configuration that should describe them, and its supersymmetry projection condition. If not, argue why.

**Problem 7.3** The Wess-Zumino piece of the D-brane effective action contains a term of the form :

$$\int_{D(p+4)} C_{p+1} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus, magnetic flux on a D(p+4)-brane can induce Dp-brane charge, because it acts as a source for the corresponding  $C_{p+1}$  gauge field to which the Dp-brane couples minimally.

Consider a D0-D4 system, as a particular case of the more general marginal Dp-D(p+4) bound state. To describe this, take the 1+4 effective theory describing the D4-brane in ten dimensional Minkowski spacetime and turn on some magnetic flux  $F = \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} d\sigma^a \wedge d\sigma^b$ ,  $a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , keeping all transverse scalar fields to a constant value (not excited). Prove that the supersymmetry of the configuration requires the magnetic field to be self-dual (or antiself-dual).

**Problem 7.4** Just as a long fundamental string can end on a Dp-brane breaking 1/4 of the spacetime supersymmetry, we can wonder whether there are other "intersections" of branes that are consistent with supersymmetry.

Consider a D2-brane extended in the 12 spacetime directions. We have learnt how to describe this configuration as the vacuum of a 1+2 effective field theory by turning off the electromagnetic fields on the brane and setting the transverse scalar fields to a constant (the location of the D2-brane in the transverse space). Let us analyse the possibility that a second D2-brane in the 34 directions can exist at the same time, and whether such "excited" configuration preserves any supersymmetry.

From the perspective of the initial 1+2 field theory, we have to turn on two scalar fields  $y = X^3(\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ ,  $z = X^4(\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ , if we want to describe any geometrical excitation in those spacetime directions. Since we are interested in a static configuration (one that does not change in time), we have already assumed that the excitations will be time independent.

- i. If we define  $\vec{\nabla} = (\partial_1, \partial_2)$ , so that its Hodge dual in two dimensions is  $\star\vec{\nabla} = (\partial_2, -\partial_1)$ , prove that

$$-\det\mathcal{G} = 1 + |\vec{\nabla}y|^2 + |\vec{\nabla}z|^2 + (\vec{\nabla}y \times \vec{\nabla}z)^2.$$

- ii. Prove the supersymmetry projection condition is equivalent to :

$$\sqrt{-\det\mathcal{G}}\epsilon = \left( \Gamma_{012} + \epsilon^{ab}\partial_a y \partial_b z \Gamma_{034} - \epsilon^{ab}\partial_a X^r \Gamma_{0br} \right) \epsilon.$$

- iii. Since we want to interpret the configuration as an intersection of two transverse D2-brane, it is natural to expect the supersymmetry of the configuration to be determined by the projection conditions :

$$\Gamma_{012}\epsilon = \epsilon, \quad \Gamma_{034}\epsilon = \epsilon.$$

Show that under these assumptions, the kappa symmetry projection condition is satisfied if

$$\vec{\nabla}y = \star\vec{\nabla}z.$$

- iv. The above conditions are equivalent to requiring holomorphicity of  $U = y + iz$  in terms of the complex coordinates  $\sigma^1 \pm i\sigma^2$ , i.e. they are the Cauchy-Riemann equations for  $U(\sigma^1 \pm i\sigma^2)$ . This is just a very elementary example of the interplay between supersymmetry and holomorphicity.